





The Right Honorable, Sir Francis Bacon Knight, then his Majesties Sollicitor Generall, after Lord Verulam, Viscount Saint Alban.

Concerning the

POST-NATICE Naturalization of the Scotch in England Vnion of the Lawes of the Kingdomes of England and Scotland.

> Published by the Authors Copy, Licensed by Authority.

> > LONDON,

Printed by Richard Badger, for Samuel Brown, and are to be sold at his shop in St. Pauls Church-yard at the figne of the white Lyon and Ball. I 64 I.

15. May. 1641.

At a Committee appointed by the Honourable House of Commons in Parliament for examination of books, of of the licensing and suppressing of them, It is ordered that these three speeches or treatises be published in print.

Edward Dering.

## 

The Argument of S' Francis Bacon Knight, His Majesties Sollicitor generall, in the Case of the Post-Nations Secondard, in the Exchequer Chamber, before the Lord Chancellor and all the Judges of England.

May it please Your Lord. Ships,

His Case Your Lord-ships doe well perceive to be of exceeding great consequence. For whether you doe measure that by place, that reacheth not onely to the Realme of England, but to the whole

Iland of Great-Brytaine; or whether you measure that by time, that extendeth not onely to the present time, but much more to suture generations,

Et natinatorum, et qui nascentur ab illis:

And therefore as that is to receive at the barre a full and free debate: so I doubt not but that shall receive from your Lord ships a sound and iust resolution according to law and according to truth. For my Lords, though he were thought to have said well that said that for his word, Rex fortisimus; Yet he was hought to have said better, evenin the opinion of a King himselfe that said, Veritas fortisima et pravalet; And I doe much rejoyce to observe such a Concurrence in the whole carriage of this cause, to this end

end that truth may prevaile. The case no fained, or framed case; but a true case betweene true partyes.

Thetitle handled formerly in some of the Kings Courts, and Free-hold upon it: used indeed by his Majesty, in his high wisedome to give an end to this great question but not rayled : occasio, as the Schoole-men say, arrepta non porrecta.

The case argued in the Kings Bench by M. Walter with great liberty, and yet with good approbation of the Court. The persons assigned to be of Counsell. on that fide, inferiour to none of their quality and degree in learning, and some of them most conversant

and exercised in the question.

The Judges in the Kings Beneh have adjourned it to this place, for conference with the rest of their brethren. Your Lord ship, my Lord Chancellor, though you be absolute Judg in the Court where you fit, and might have called to you fuch assistance of Indges as to you had feemed good: yet would not forerun or leade in this case by any opinion there to be gi-ven; but have chosen rather to come your selfe to this assembly, all tending (as I sayd) to this end, whereunto I for my part doe heartily subscribe, ut vincat veritas, that truth may first appeare, and then prevaile. And I doe firmely hold and doubt not but I shall well maintaine, that this is the truth, That Calvin the plaintiefe is 10% Iure by the law of England a naturall borne subject, to purchase Free-hold and to bring real actions within Eugland. In this case I must so consider the time, as I must much more consider the matter. And therefore though it may draw my speach into further length; yet I dare not handle a case of this nature

nature confusedly, but purpose to observe the ancient and exact forme of pleadings, which is,

First, to explaine or induce.

Then, to confute, or answere objections.

And lastly, to prove, or confirme.

And first for explanation. The outward question in this ease is no more, but whether a child borne in Scotland since his Majesties happy comming to the Crowne of England, be naturalized in England or not But the inward question or State of the question ever more beginneth, where that which is confessed on both sides doth leave.

It is confest, that if these two Realmes of England and Scotland were united under one Law and one Parliament, and thereby incorporated and made as one Kingdome, that the Post-natus of such anunion

should be naturalized.

It is confessed, that both Realmes are united in the person of our Soveraigne; or (because I will gaine no thing by surreption, in the putting of the question) that one & the same natural person, is King of both Realmes.

It is confessed, that the Lawes and Parliaments are severall. So then, whether this priviledge and benefit of Naturalization be an acceffory or dependancy up on that which is one and joint, or upon that which is severall, hath beene and must be the depth of this question. And therefore your Lord-ships doe see the State of this question doth evidently lead me by way of inducement to speake of three things. The King, the Law, and the priviledge of Naturalization. For

if you well understand the nature of the two Principals, and againe the nature of the Accessory; Then shall you discerne, to whether Principal the Accessory doth properly referre, as a shadow to a body, or Iron to an Adamant.

And there your Lord-ships will give me leave in a case of this quality, first to visit and open the soundations and sountaines of Reason; and not to begin with the positions, and eruditions of a Municipal! Law; for so was that done in the great Case of Mines; and so ought that to be done in all cases of like nature. And this doth not at all detract from the sufficiency of our lawes, as incompetent to decide their owne cases; but rather addeth a dignity unto them when their reason appearing as well as their authority, doth shew them to be as fine moneyes, which are currant not onely by the stampe because they are so received, but by the natural metall, that is the reason and wisedome of them.

And Master Littleton himselfe in his whole booke doth commend but two things to the professors of the law by the name of his sonnes; the one the inquiring and searching out the reasons of the law, and the other, the observing of the formes of pleadings. And never was there any case that came in ludgement, that required more that Littletons advice should be followed in those two points, then doth the present case in question. And first of the King.

It is evident that all other common-wealths (Monarchies onely excepted) doe sinblish by a law precedent. For where authority is divided amongst many officers, and they not perpetuall, but annuall or tempo-

rary, and not to receive their authority but by election, and certaine persons to have voice onely to that election, and the like: These are busic and curious frames: which of necessity doe presuppose a law precedent written or unwritten to guide and direct them. But in Monarchies, especially hereditary, that is when severall families, or lineages of people doe submit themselves to one line, Imperiall or Royall, the submission is more naturall and simple, which afterwards by lawes subsequent is persected and made more formall: but that is grounded upon nature. That this is so, it appeareth notably in two things, the one, the platformes and patternes which are found in nature of Monarchies, the original submissions, & their motives and occasions. The platformes are three.

The first is that of a father, or chiefe of a family: who governing over his wife by prerogative of Sexe, over his children by prerogative of age; and because he is author unto them of being; and over his servants by prerogative of vertue and providence, for he that is able of body, and improvident of mind, is Natura servus) that is a very modell of a King. So that is the opinion of Aristotle, Lib. 3. Pol. Cap. 14, where he saith: Verum autem regnum est, cum penes unum est rerum summa potestas: quod regnum procurationem familia imi-

tatur.

And therefore Lycurgus, when one counselled him to dissolve the kingdome and to establish another forme of estate, he answered, Sir begin to doe that which you advise first at home in your owne house noting that the chief of a family is as a King; and that those that can least endure Kings abroad, can be con-

tent to be Kings at home, & this is the first platforme,

which we see is meerely naturall.

The second is, that of a Shepheard and his slocke, which Zenophon saith, Cyrus had ever in his mouth. For shepheards are not owners of the sheepe, but their office is to seede and governe: no more are Kings, proprietaries, or owners of the people, for God is sole owner of people. The nations, as the Scripture saith, are his inheritance: But the office of Kings is to governe, maintaine, and protect people. And that is not without a mystery, that the first King that was instituted by God, David, (for Saul was but an untimely fruit) was translated from a shepheard, as you have it in the 78. Psal. Et elegit David servum suum, de gregibus ovium sustulit eum, pascere lacob Servum suum, Israel hereditatem suam. This is the second platforme, a worke likewise of nature.

The third platforme is the government of God himselfe over the world, whereof lawfull Monarchies, are a shadow. And therefore both amongst the heathen, and amongst the Christians the word ( facred ) hath beene attributed unto Kings, because of the conformity of a Monarchy, with the divine Majesty; never to a Senate or people. And so you finde it twice in the Lord Cookes Reports: once in the second booke, the Bishop of Winchesters case; and his first booke. Camdries case, and more anciently in the 10. of H. 7. fo.18. Rex est persona mixta cam Sacerdote; an attribute which the senate of Venice, or a Canton of Swiffes, can never challenge. So we see there be presidents, or platformes of Monarchies, both in Nature and above Nature: even from the Monarch of heaven and earth earth, to the King (if you will) in an hive of bees. And therefore other States are the creatures of the

law; and this State onely subsisteth by Nature.

For the original fubmissions, they are foure in number: I will briefly touch them: The first is Paternity or Patriarchy, which was when a family growing so great as it could not containe it selfe within one habitation, some branches of the descendents were forced to plant themselves into new families, which second families could not by a natural linstinct, and inclination, but beare a reverence and yeeld an obeyseance to the eldest line of the ancient family, from which they were derived.

The second is, the admiration of vertue, or gratitude towards merit, which is likewise naturally infused into all men. Of this Aristoile putteth the case well, when it was the fortun of some one man, either to invent some Arts of excellent use towards mans life; or to congregate people that dwelt scattered, into one place, where they might cohabite with more comfort; or to guide them from a more barrenland to a more fruitful, or the like: Vpon these deserts, and the admiration and recompence of them, people submitted themselves.

The third, which was the most usuall of all, was Conduct in warre, which even in nature induceth as great an obligation, as Paternity. For as men owe their life and being to their Parents, in regard of generation. So they owe that also to Saviours in the warres, in regard of preservation. And therefore we finde in the 18. Chap of the booke of Judges, verse 22. Dixerunt omnes wiri ad Gedeon, Dominare nostri, tu et filij tui, quoniam servasti nos de manu Madian. And so

B

we reade when it was brought to the eares of Saul that the people sung in the streets, Saul hath kild his thousand, & David his ten thousand of enemies, he said straight-waies: Quid ei superest nist ipsum regnü: for whosoever hath the military dependance, wants little of being King.

The fourth is an enforced submission, which is Conquest, whereof it seemed Nymrod was the first president, of whom it is said, Ipse capit potens esse in terra, et erat robustus wenator coram Domino. And this likewise is upon the same root, which is the saving or gift as it were of life, and being, for the Conqueror hath power of life and death over his Captives, and therefore where he giveth them themselves, he may reserve upon such a gift, what service and subjection he will. All these sources submissions are evident to be naturall and more ancient than law.

To speake therefore of Law, which is the second part of that which is to be spoken of by way of inducement. Law no doubt is the great Organ by which the soveraigne power doth move, and may be truly compared to the finewes in a naturall body, as the Soveraignty may be compared to the spirits, for if the Sinewes be without the spirits, they are dead and without motion, If the spirits move in weake sinewes it causeth trembling: so the lawes without the Kings power, are dead; the Kings power except the lawes be corroborate, will never move constantly, but be full of staggering and trepidation. But towards the King himself, the law doth a double office or operation: The first is to entitle the King, or designe him; and in that sense Bratton saith well. Lib. 1. fol. 5. and Lib. 3. fol. 107. Lexfacit quod ipfe fit Rex, that is it defines his title, as in our law, that the kingdome shall goe to the issue semale: That it shall not be departable amongst daughters: That the halfe bloud shall not be respected, and other points differing from the rules of common inheritance. The second is (that whereof we need not feare to speake in good and happy times, such as these are) to make the ordinary power of the King more definite or regular, for it was well said by a Father, plenitudo potestatis, est plenitudo tempestatis. And although the King, in his Person, be solutus Legibus; yet his Acts and Grants are limited by Law, and we argue them every day.

But I demand, Do these offices or operations of law evacuate or frustrate the original submission, which was naturall? or shall it be faid that all allegiance is by law? No more than it can be said, that potestas patris, the power of the Father over the Child, is by Law: and yet no doubt Lawes do diversely define of that also; the Law of some Nations having given Fathers power to put their Children to death; others, to sell them thrice, others to difinherit them by testament at pleasure, and the like. Yet no man will affirm, that the obedience of the child is by law, though lawes in some points doe make it more positive. And even so it is of allegiance of Subjects to hereditary Monarches, which is corroborate and confirmed by law, but is the worke of the law of nature. And therefore you shall finderhe observation true, and almost generall in all states, that their law-givers were long after their first Kings, who governed for a time by naturall equity without law; So was Thefeus long before Solon in Athens: so was Eurition and Sous

long

long before Lycurgus in Sparta. So was Romulus long before the Decemviri. And even amongst our selves, there were more ancient Kings of the Saxons; and yet the Lawes ran under the name of Edgar's Lawes, And in the refounding of the Kingdome in the person of William the Conqueror, when the Lawes were in fome confusion for a time, a man may truly say, that King Edward the first, was the first Law-giver, who enacting some Laws, and collecting others, brought the Law to some perfection. And therefore I will conclude this point with the Style which divers Acts of Parliaments do give unto the King: which terme him very effectually and truly, Our Naturall Soveraigne Liege Lord! And as it was faid by a principall Judge here present when he served in another place, and question was moved by some occasion of the title of Bulleins Lands, That he would never allow, that Queene Elizabeth. (I remember at for the efficacy of the phrase) should bee a Statute Queene, but a Common Law Queen: So furely I shall hardly consent, that the King shall be esteemed or called only; our Rightfull Soveraigne, or our Lawfull Soveraigne, but our Naturall Liege Soveraigne; As Acts of Parliament speake: For as the common Law is more worthy than the Statute Law: So the Law of Nature is more worthy than them both. Having spoken now of the King and the Law: lit remaineth to speake of the priviledge and benefit of Naturalization it selfe, and that according to the rules of the Law of England. Naturalization is best discerned in the degrees whereby the Law doth mount and ascend thereunto. For it feemeth admirable unto mee, to consider with what what a measured hand, and with how true poportions our Law doth impart and conferre the severall de-:

grees of this benefit: The degrees are foure.

The first degree of persons, (as to this purpose). that the Law takes knowledge of, is an Alien Enemy: that is such a one as is borne under the obeisance of a Prince or State that is in hostility with the King of England. To this person the Law giveth no benefit or protection at all, but if hee come into the Realme after war proclaimed, or war in fact, he comes at his own perill, hee may be used as an enemy: For the Law accounts of him, out (as the Scripture faith) as of a Spye that comes to see the weaknesse of the land. And so it is 2. of Ric. the 3, fo. 2. Neverthelesse, this admitteth a distinction. For if he come with safe conduct, otherwise it is. For then he may not be violated, either in person or goods. But yet hee must fetch his Justice at the fountaine head, for none of the Conduit pipes are open to him, he can have no remedy in any of the Kings Courts: but he must complain himselfe before the Kings Privy Councell: There he shall have a proceeding summary from houre to houre, the cause shall be determined by naturall equity, and not by rules of Law, and the decree of the Councell shall be executed by ayde of the Chauncery, as is 13. Edw. 4. An this is the first degree:

The second person, is an Alien friend, that is such a one as is borne under the obeisance of such a King or State, as is confederate with the King of England, or at least not in war with him. To this person the Law allotteth this benefit, that as the Law accounts that the hold it hath over him, is but a transitory hold.

B<sub>3</sub> (for

(for he may be an Enemy) So the Law doth indus him, but with a transitory benefit, that is of moveable goods and perfonall actions. But for free-hold, or lease, or actions reall, or mixt: he is not inabled, except it be in anter droit And so it is 9, E.4, so.7. 19.

E.4, fo. 6.5. Ma. and divers other books.

The third person is a Denizon, using the word properly; (for sometime it is confounded with a naturall borne subject. ) This is one, that is but Subditus insitivus, or adoptivus, and is never by birth, but only by the Kings Charter, and by no other meane; come he never so young into the Realme, or stay he never so long. Manfion or Habitation will not indenife him, no nor swearing obedience to the King in a Leete, which doth in-law the subject, but only (as I said) the Kings grace and gift. To this person the Law giveth an ability and capacity abridged, not in matter but in time. And as there was a time, when hee was not subject: So the Law doth not acknowledge him before that time. For if he purchase free-hold after his Denization, he may take it; but if he have purchafed any before, he shall not hold it: So if hee have children after, they shall inherit, but if hee have any before, they shall not inherit: So as he is but priviledged à parte post, as the Schoole-men say, and not à parte ante.

The fourth and last degree, is a Natural borne subject, which is evermore by birth, or by Act of Parliament; and he is compleate and entire. For in the Law of England, there is nil ultra, there is no more subdivision or more subtile division beyond these: And therein it seemeth to mee that the wisdome of

the Law (as I said) is to be admired both ways, both because it distinguisheth so far, and because it doth not distinguish further. For I know that other Lawes do admit more curious distinction of this priviledge; For the Romanes had besides Ins Civitatis, which answereth to Naturalization, Ius Suffragii. For although a man were naturalized to take lands and inheritance; yet he was not inabled to have a voyce at passing of Laws, or at election of Officers. And yet further they have lus Petitionis, or lus Honorum. For though a man had voyce, yet he was not capable of honour, and office. But these be the devises commonly of popular or free estates, which are jealous whom they take into their number, and are unfit for Monarchies: But by the Law of England the subject that is naturall borne, hath a capacity or ability to all benefits whatfoever; I fay capacity or ability. But to reduce Potentiam in actum, is another case. For an Earle of Ireland, though he be naturalized in England, yet hath no voyce in Parliament of England, except he have either a call by Writ, or a creation by Patent, but he is capable of either. But upon this quadripartite division of the ability of persons, I doe observe to your Lordships three things, being all effectually pertinent to the question in hand.

The first is, that if any man conceive that the reafons for the Post-nati might serve as well for the Ante-nati; He may by the distribution which wee have made, plainly perceive his error. For the Law looketh not back, and therefore cannot by any matter ex post facto, after birth, alter the state of the birth; wherein no doubt the Law hath a grave and pro-

found:

found reason, which is this in few words, Nemo subito fingitur; aliud est nasci, aliud sieri! Wee indeed more respect and affect thie worthy Gentlemen of Scotland whose merits and conversations we know: but the Law that proceeds upon generall reason and looks upon no mens faces, affecteth and priviled geth those, which drew their first breath under the obeisance of the King of England.

The second point is, that by the former distribution, it appeareth that there be but two conditions by birth, either Alien or naturall borne (nam tertium penitus ignoramus.) It is manifest then, that if the Post nati of Scotland, be not naturall borne, they are alien born and in no better degree at all, than Flemmings, French, Italians, Spanish, Germans, and others; which are all at this time Alien friends, by reason his Majesty is in

peace with all the World.

The third point seemeth to mee very worthy the confideration, which is, that in all the distribution of persons, and the degrees of abilities or capacities, the Kings Act is all in all, without any manner of respect to Law or Parliament. For it is the King, that makes an Alien enemy, by proclaiming a war, wherewith the Law, or Parliament intermeddles not: So the King only grants Safe-conducts, wherewith Law and Parliament intermeddle not. It is the King likewise that maketh an Alien friend, by concluding a peace, wherewith Law and Parliament intermeddle not. It is the King that makes a Denizon, by his Charter absolutely of his prerogative and power, wherewith Law and Parliament intermedle not. And therefore it is strongly to be inferred, that as all these degrees depend

pend wholly upon the Kings act, and no wayes upon Law or Parliament: So the fourth, although it cannot by the Kings Patent, but by operation of Law: yet that the law, in that operation, respecteth onely the Kings person, without respect of subjection to Law or Parliament. And thus much by way of explanation, and inducement: which being all matter in effect confessed, is the strongest ground-worke to that which is contradicted or controverted.

There followeth the confutation of the Argu-

ments on the contrary fide.

That which hath beene materially objected may

be reduced to foure heads.

The first is, that the priviledge of Naturalization, followeth Allegeance, and that allegeance follow-

eth the Kingdome.

The second is drawne from that common ground, Cum duo jura concurrunt in una persona, aquum est, ac si essent in duobus; a rule, the words whereof are taken from the Civill Law; but the matter of it is received in all lawes; being a very line or rule of reason to avoyd consuston.

The third confisteth of certaine inconveniencies conceived to ensue of this generall naturalization ip-

so jure.

The fourth is not properly an objection, but a preoccupation of an objection or proofe on our part, by a distinction devised betweene Countries devolute by descent, and acquired by Conquest.

For the first, it is not amisse to observe that those which maintaine this new opinion, whereof there is altum Silentium in our bookes of Law, are not well

C agreed

agree in what forme to utter and expresse that: for some said that allegeance hath respect to the Law, some to the Crowne, some to the Kingdome, some to the body politique of the King, so there is confusion of tongues amongst them, as it commonly commeth to passe in opinions, that have their soundations in subtilty, and imagination of mans wit, and not in the ground of nature. But to leave their words and to come to their proofes, they endeavour to prove this conceipt, by three manner of proofes. First by reason, then by certaine inferences out of Statutes, and lastly, by certaine booke-cases mentioning and reciting the formes of pleadings.

The reason they bring is this; That Naturalization is an operation of the Law of England, and so indeed it is, that may bee the true genus of it.

Then they adde (that granted) that the Law of England is of force onely within the Kingdome and Dominions of England, and cannot operate, but where it is in force. But the Law is not in force in Scotland, therefore that cannot endure this benefit of Naturalization by a birth in Scotland.

This reason is plausible and sensible, but extreamely erronious. For the Law of England, for matters of benefit, or forseitures in England, operateth over the World. And because it is truely said, that Respublica conti-

netur poena, & pramie. I will put a case or two of either.

It is plaine that if a Subject of England had confpired the death of the King in forraine parts, it was by the Common Law of England treason. How prove I that? By the Statute of 35. of H. 8. ca. 2. wherein you shall find no words at all of making any new case of treason which was not treason before, but onely of ordaining a forme of triall, Ergo it was treason before. And if so, then the Law of England works in forraine parts. So of contempts, if the King send his Privy Seale to any Subject beyond the Seas, commanding him to returne, and kee disobey; no man will doubt, but there is a contempt, and yet the sact enduring the contempt was committed in forraine parts.

Therefore the Law of England, doth extend to Acts or matters done in forraine parts. So of reward, Priviledge or benefit wee need seeke no other instance; then the instance in question, for I will put you a case that no man shall deny, where the Law of England doth worke and conferre the benefit of Naturalization upon a birth neither within the Dominions of the Kingdome, nor King of England. By the Statute of 25. E. 3. which, if you will believe Hussey, is but a Declaration of the Common Law, all children borne in any parts of the World, if they be of English Parents, continuing at that time, as liege Subjects to the King, and having done no act to forseit the benefit of

their allegeance are is so saturalized. Nay if a man looke narrowly into the Law in this point, he shall find a consequence, that may seeme at the first strange, but yet cannot well be avoided; which is that it divers Families of English-men and women plant themselves at Middleborough or at Roane, or at Listone, and have issues, and their descendents doe intermarry, amongst themselves without any intermixture of fortaine blood; such descendents are naturalized to all generations, for every generation is still of liege Parents, and therefore naturalized. So as you may have whole tribes, and lineages of English in fortaine Countries.

And therefore it is utterly untrue that the Law of England cannot operate, or conferre naturalization, but onely within the bounds of the Dominions

of England.

To come now to their inferences upon Statutes. The first is out of this Statute which I last recyted. In which Statute it is said, that in source severall places, there are words aborne within the allegeance of England; or againe borne without the allegeance of England, which (say they) applies the allegeance to the Kungdome, and not to the person of the King. To this the answer is easie: for there is no trope of speech more familiar then to use the place of addition for the person. So we say commonly the lyne of rorke, or the lyne of Lancaster, for the lynes of the Duke of Torke or the Duke of Lancaster.

So we say the possessions of Sommerset or Warwick intending the possessions of the Dukes of Sommerset, or Earles of Warnick. So we see Earles signe, Salisbury, Northampton, for the Earles of Salisbury or

Norte-

Northampton. And in the very same manner, the Sta? tute speakes, allegeance of England, for allegeance of the King of England. Nay more if there had been no variety in the penning of that Statute, this collect on had had a little more force; for these words might have beene thought, to have been used of purpole, and in propriety; but you may find in three other severall places of the same Statute, Allegeance and obeysance of the King of England, and specially in the materiall and concluding place, that is to fay, children whose Parents were at the time of their birth, at the faith and obeysance of the King of England, so that is manifest by this indifferent and promiscuous use of both Phrases, the one proper, the other unproper, that no man can ground any inferece upon these words without danger of cavillation.

The fecond Statute out of which they inferre, is a Statute made in 32.0f H.8.ca. touching the policy of strangers trades men within this Realme. For the Parliament finding, that they did eate the Englishmen out of trade, and that they entertained no Apprentizes, but of their owne Nation, did prohibite that they should receive any Apprentize, but the Kings Subjects. In which Statute is faid, that in 9. feverall places, there is to be found this context of words, Aliens borne out of the Kings obedience; which is pregnant (say they) and doth imply that there bee Aliens borne within the Kings obedience. Touching this inference I have heard it faid. Qui havet in litera, bæret in cortice, but this is not worthy the name of Cortex, it is but muscus corticis, the mosse of the barke. For it is evident that the Statute meant to

speake clearely and without equivocation, and to a common understanding. Now then there are aliens in common reputation & aliens in precise construation of Law, The Statute then meaning not to comprehend Irish-men, or Gersie-men, or Calize-men, for explanation lake, left the word alien might be extended to them in a vulgar acceptance, added those further words, borne out of the Kings obedience? Nay, what if we should say, that those words according to the received Lawes of Speech, are no words of difference or limitation, but of declaration or description of an alien, as if it had beene said with a videlicet, aliens; that is fuch as are borne out of the Kings obedience: they cannot put us from that confruction. But sure I am, if the barke make for them, the pyth makes for us, for the Priviledge or liberty which the Statute meanes to deny to Aliens of entertaining Apprentizes is denyed to none, borne within the Kings obedience, call them Aliens or what you will. And therefore by their reason a post-Natus of Scotland shall by that Statute keepe what stranger Apprentizes he will, and so is put in the degree of an English. The third Statute out of which inference is made, is the Statute of 14.E.3. ca. folo, which hath been said to be our very case, and Iam of that opinion too, but directly the other way, therefore to open the scope and purpose of that Statute. After that the title to the Crowne of France, was devolute to K. E. 3. & that he had changed his Stile, changed his Armes, changed his Seale, (as his Majeftie hath done) the Subject of England (faith the Statute) conceived a feare that the Realme of England might become subject to the Real me of France, or to the K.asK.ofFrance. And I will give you the reasons of the double feare, that it should become subject to the Realme of France they had this reason of seare: Normandy had conquered England; Normandy was feudall of France, therefore because the superiour Seignory of France was now united in right with the Tenancy of Normandy, and that England, in regard of the conquest might be taken as a perquisite to Normandy, they had propable reason to seare, that the Kingdome of England might be drawne to be subject to the Realme of France. The other feare that England might become subject to the K.asK. of France grew no doubt of this fore-fight, that the Kings of England might be like to make their mansion and seate of their estate in France, in regard of the Climate, wealth, and glory of that Kingdome; and thereby the Kingdome of England might be governed by the Kings mandates and precepts issuing, as from the King of France. But they will fay what soever the occasion was, here you have the difference authorised of subjection to aK. generally, and subjection to a King, as K. of a certaine Kingdome, but to this I give an answer three-fold."

First, it presset not the question; for doth any man say that a Post-natus of Scotland is naturalized in England, because he is a subject of the King, as K. of England? No, but generally, because he is the K. Subject.

Secondly, the scope of this Law is to make a difference between Crown, and Crown; But the scope of their argument is to make a difference between Crowne and person. Lastly, this Statute (as I said)) is our very case retorted against them, for this is a direct Statute of separation, which presupposeth that the Common Law had made an union of the Crownes in some degree, by vertue of the

vnion in the Kings person; if this Statute had not beene made to stop & crosse the course of the common Law in that point, as if Scotland now should be fuitors to the King, that an Act might paffe to like effect, and upon like feare. And therefore if you will make good, your distinction, in this present case; shew us a Statute for that. But I hope you can shew: no Statute of separation betweene England and Scotland. And if any man fay, that this was a Statute declaratory of the Comon Law, he doth not marke how that is penned: for after a kind of Historicall declaration in the Preamble, that England was never subject to France, the body of the Act is penned thus: The King doth grant and establish, which are words meerly introductive nove legis as if the King gave a Charrer of Franchise, and did invest by a Donative, the Subjects of England with a new Priviledge or exemption, which by the Comon Law they had not.

To come now to the booke-cases which they put: which I will couple together because they receive

one joynt answere.

The first is 42. of E. 3. fo. where the booke saith: exception was taken that the plaintife was borne in Scotland at Rose, out of the allegeance of England.

The next is 22. H. 6. fo. 38. Adrians Case, where it is pleaded that a woman was borne at Burgis, out

of the allegeance of England.

The third is 13. Eliz. Dyer fo. 300 where the case begins thus: Doctor Story qui notorie dignoscitur esse subditus regni Anglia. In all these three (say they) that is pleaded that the party is subject of the Kingdome of England, and not of the King of England.

To these bookes I give this answer, that they be not the Pleas at large, but the words of the Reporter, who speakes compend outly and narrative, and not according to the solemne words of the pleading. If you find a case pur, that it is pleaded, a man was seized in Fee-simple, you will not inferre upon that, that the words of the pleading were in feedo simplici, but sibi & haredibus suis. But thew mee some president of a pleading at large of Natus subleyeantia Regni Anglia; for whereas Mr. VV alter said that pleadings are variable in this point, he would faine bring it to that; but there is no fuch matter: For the pleadings are constant, and uniforme in this point; they may vary in the word fides, or legeantia, or obedientia, and some other circumstances, but in the forme of Regni and Regis, they vary not: neither can there, as Jam persivaded be any one instance shewed forth to the contrary. See 9. Eliz.4. Baggets Affize, f.7. where the pleading at large is entred in the booke; There you have, elienigena natus extra legeantiam domini Regis Anglia. See the presidents in the Booke of Entries, Pl. 7 and two other places; for there beno more, and there you shall find still sub legeantia domini Regis, or extra legeantia Domini Regis. And therefore the formes of pleading, which are things fo reverend, and are indeed towards the Reasons of the Law, as Palma, and Pugnus, conteyning the Reson of the Law, opened or unfolded, or displayed, they make all for us. And for the very words of Reporters in bookes, you must acknowledge and say, Ilicet obraimur numero. for you have 22. Aff.

Pl. 25.27. all the Pryor of Skellyes cafe Pl. 48. 14. H.4.f. 19.3. H.6.f. 35.6. H. 8. in my Lord Dyer, fol. 2. In all these bookes, the very words of the Reporters have the allegeance of the King, and not the allegeance of England. And the booke in the 24.0f Eliz.3. which is your best booke, although while it is toffed at the Bar, you have fometimes the word allegeance of England, yet when it comes to Thorpe chiefe Iustice to give the rule, he saith; we will be certified by the Role, whether Scotland be within the allegeance of the King. Nay that further forme of pleading beateth downe your opinion. That it fusficeth not to say, that he is borne out of the allegeance of the King, and stay there, but he must shew in the affirmative under the allegeance of what King, . or state he was borne. The Reason whereof cannot be because it may appeare, whether he be a friend or an enemy, for that in a reall action is all one: nor it cannot be because issue shalbe taken thereupon ; for the issue must arise on the other side upon indigena pleaded and traversed. And therefore it can have no other reason, but to apprise the court more certainly, that the countrey of the birth is none of those, that are subject to the King. As for the tryall, that it should be impossible to detryed, I hold it not worth the answering; for the venire facias, shall goe either where the naturall birth is laid, although it be but by fistion, or if it be laid according to the truth, it shalbe tryed where the action is brought, otherwise you fall upon a maine Rock, that breaketh your Argument in pieces, for how should the birth of an Irish-man be tryed; or of a Gersie Gersse man? Nay how should the birth of a subject be tryed that is borne of English Parents in Spain or Florence, or any part of the world? for to all these the like objection of tryall may be made, because they are within no Counties, and this receives no answer. And therefore I will now passe on to the

second maine Argument.

It is a rule of the Civill Law, say they, cum duo jura, &c. when two rights doe meete in one person there is no confusion of them, but they remain still in eye of law distinct, as if they were in severall perions, and they bring examples of one man Bishop of two Seas, or one person that is Rector of two Churches. They say this unity in the Bishop, or the Rector doth not create any privity between the Parishioners or Dioceseners, more then if there were severall Bishops, or severall Parsons. This rule I allow (as was faid) to be a Rule not of the Civill Law onely, but of common reason, but receiveth no forced or coyned, out a true and found distination, or limitation, which is, that it evermore faileth and deceiveth in cases, where there is any vigor, or operation of the naturall persons for generally in coporations the naturall body is but suffulcimentum corporis corporati, it is but as a stock to uphold and beare out the corporate body, but otherwise it is in the case of the Crown, as thall be manifestly proved in due place. But to shew that this rule receiveth this distinction, I will put but two cases. The statute of the 21. Hen. 8. ordaineth that a Marquesse may retaine sixe Chaplaines qualified, a Lord Treasurer of Englandfoure, a Privie Counseilour three. The

The Lord Treasurer Paulet was Marquesse of winchester, Lord Treasurer of England and privie counfellor all at once. Question was whether hee should qualifie 13. Chaplaines. Now by the Rule cum duo Iura, he should: but adjudged, he should not. And the Reason was because the attendance of Chaplaines concerned and respected his naturall person, he had but one foule, though he had three Offices. The other case which I will put, is the case of Homage, a man doth homage to his Lord for a Tenancie held of the mannor of Dale, there descendeth. unto him afterwards a Tenancie held of the mannor of Sale, which mannor of Sale is likewise in the hands of the same Lord. Now by the Rule cum duo. jura, he should doe homage againe, two Tenancies and two Seignories, though but one Tenant, and one Lord, aquum est ac si ess et in duohus. But ruled that he should not doe homage againe: nay in the Case of the King, hee shall not pay a second respect of Homage, as upon grave and deliberate consideration it was resolved, 24. H. 8. and Vsus Scaccarii, as is there said accordingly. And the Reason is no other but because when a man is sworne to his Lord, hee cannot be sworne over againe, he hath but one Conscience, and the Obligation of this Oath, trencheth betweene the naturall person of the Tenant, and the naturall person of the Lord. And certainly the Case of Homage and Tenure, and of Homage Liege, which is one case, are things of a neere Nature, save that the one is much inferiour to the other, but it is good to behold these great matters of State in cases of lower Element, as the Eclipse of the Sun is used

used to be in a paile of Water.

The third maine Argument conteyners certain supposed inconveniences, which may ensue of a generall Naturalization ipso jure, of which kind three have bin specially remembred.

The first is the losse of profit, to the King upon Letters of Denization, and purchases of Aliens.

The second is the concourse of Scottishmen into this Kingdome, to the inseeding of that Realme of Scotland in people, and the impoverishing of this

Realme of England in wealth.

The third is, that the reason of this case stayeth not within the compasse of the present case; for although it were some reason that Scott is bmen were naturalized being people of the same Iland and language, yet the reason which we urge, which is, That they are subject to the same King, may be applyed to persons every way more estranged from usthen they are, as if in future time in the Kings descendents, there should be a match with Spaine, and the Dominions of Spaine should bee united with the Crowne of England by one reason (say they) all the Prest-Indies should be naturalized; which are people not onely, alterius Soli but alterius Cali. To these conceits of inconvenience, how easie it is to give answer, and how weake they are in themselves, I thinke no man that doth attentively ponder them can doubt; For how fmall revenue can arise of such Denizations, and how honourable it were for the King to take escheats of his Subjects, as if they were forreyners (for seisure of aliens Lands are in regard the King hath no hold or command of their

persons

persons, and services) every one may perceive. And tor the confluence of Scottishmen, I thinke wee all conceive the Spring-tide is past at the Kings first. comming in. And yet wee fee very few families of them, throughout the Cities & Boroughes of England. And for the naturalizing of the Indies, we can readily helpe that, when the case comes; for we can make an act of Parliament of separation if we like not their confort. But these being Reasons polirique, and not legall (and we are not now in Parliament, but before a Judgment Seate) I will not meddle with them, specially since I have one answer which avoids and confounds all their objections in Lan, which is that the very self-same objections doe hold in Countreyes purchased by Conquest. For in Subjects obtained by Conquest, it were more profit to indenizate by the Poll, in Subjects obteyned by Conquest, they may come in too fast. And if King Hen. 7. had accepted the offer of Christopher Columbus, whereby the Crowne of England had obteyned the Indies by conquest or occupation, all the Indies had bin naturalized by the confession of the adverse part. And therfore since it is confessed, that Subjects obteyned by Conquest are naturalized, & that all these objections are common and indifferent, as well to case of Conquest, as case of descent, these objections are in themselves destroyed.

And therefore to proceed now to overthrow that distinction of descent and Conquest. Plato saith well, the strongest of all authorities is, if a man can alledge the authority of his adversaries against him selfe, we doe urge the Confession of the other side,

that they confessed the Irish are naturalized, that they confesse the Subjects of the 1les of Gersie and Garnsey, and Barwick to be naturalized, and the subjects of Calice and Tourney when they were English were naturalized, as you may find in the 5. E. in Dyer, upon the question put to the Judges by Sir Nicholus Bacon Lord Keeper.

To avoid this, they flye to a difference; which is new coyned, and is (I speake not to the disadvantage of the persons that use it; for they are driven to it tanquam ad ultimum refugium, but the difference it selfe) it is I say full of ignorance and error. And therefore to take a view of the supports of this dif-

ference, they alledge foure Reasons.

The first is, that Countreyes of Conquest, are made parcell of England; because they are acquired by the Armes and Treasure of England. To this I answer, That it were a very strange Argument, that if I waxe rich upon the Mannor of Dale, and upon the Revenue thereof purchase a close by it, that it - should make that parcell of the Mannor of Dale. But I will fet this new Learning on ground with a -question or case put. For I oppose them that hold this opinion with this Question, if the King should conquer any Forreigne Countrey by an Army compounded of English-men and Scottish-men, as it is like whenfoever Warres are, so it will be. I demand whether this Countrey conquered shall qe naturalized both in England and Scotland, becanse it was purchased by the joynt Armes of both? And if yea, whether any man will thinke it reasonable, that such Subjects bee naturalized

in both Kingdomes, the one Kingdome not being naturalized towards the other? These are the in-

tricate consequences of Conceits.

A second reason they alledge, is, that Countreyes won by Conquest become subject to the Lawes of England, which Countries Patrimonial are not, and that the Law doth draw the Allegeance, and Alle-

geance Naturalization.

But to the Major proposition of that Argument, touching the dependancy of allegeance upon Law, fomewhat hath bin already spoken, and full answer shalbe given when we come to it. But in this place it shall suffice to say, that the Minor proposition is. false, that is, that the Lawes of England are not superinduced upon any Countrey by Conquest; but that the old Lawes remaine un till the King by his Proclamation or Letters-pattents declare other Lawes, and then if he will, hee may declare Lawes which be utterly repugnant, and differing from the Lawes of England. And hereof many antient Prefidents and Records may be shewed; that the Reafon why Ireland is subject to the Lawes of England is not inso jure upon conquest; but grew by a Charter of K. John, and that extended but to fo much as was then in the Kings possession, For there are Records in the time of King. S. 1 and 2 of divers particular Grants to lundry Subjects of Ireland, and their Heires, that they might use and observe the Lawes of England.

The third Reason is, that there is a politique neceffity of intermixture of people in case of subjection, by Conquest to remove alienations of mind, &

to secure the State, which holdeth not in case of descent. Here I perceive Mr. Walter bath read somewhat in matter of State, and so have I likewise, though me may both quickly lofe ounselves in cause of this Nature. I find by the best opinions, that there bee two meanes to affure and retained in obedience Countreyes conquered, both very differing, almost in extreames the one towards the other.

The one is by Colonies, and intermixture of people, and transplantation of families, which Mr. walter spoke off, and it was indeed the Romane manner: but this is like an old relique, much reverenced and als most never used. But th'other which is the modern manner, and almost wholly in practice & use, is by Garrisons and Citadelles, and Lists or Companies of men of warne, and other like matters of terrour and bridle

To the first of these (which is little used) it is true that naturalization doth conduce, but to the latter it is utterly opposite, as putting too great pride, and meanes to do hurt, in those that are meant to be kept short and low. And yet in the very first case of the Romane proceeding, Naturalization did never follow by Conquest, during all the growth, of the Romane Empire, but was ever conferred by Charters, or Donations, sometimes to Cities, and Tomaes, sometimes to particular persons, & sometimes to Nations, untill the time of Adrian the Emperour, and the Law in Orbe Romano, and that Law or constitution is not referred to title of Conquest and Armes onely, but to all other titles; as by the Donation and Testament of Kings, by Submission and dedision

dedition of States, or the like. So as this difference was as strange to them, as to us. And certainly I suppose it will found strangely in the hearing of forreigne Nations, that the law of England should ipfo facto, naturalize subjects of Conquest, and should not naturalize Subjects, which grow unto the King by descent 5 that is that it should conferre the benefit and priviledge of naturalization upon fuch, as cannot at the first but beare hatred and rancor to the state of England, and have had their hands in the bloud of the Subjects of England, and should deny the like benefit to those that are conjoyned with them by a more amiable meane: And that the law of England, should conferre naturalization upon flaves and vaffals (for people conquered are no better in the beginning) and should deny it to Free-men: I fay it will be marvelled at abroad, of what complexion the lawes of England bee made, that breedeth such differences. But there is little danger of fuch scandals; for this is a difference, that the law of England never knew.

The fourth reason of this difference is 3 that in case of Conquest, the territory united can never be separated againe. But in case of descent, there is a possibility, if his Majesties line should faile, the Kingdomes may severe againe to their respective heires, as in the case of 8. H. 6. where it is said, that if Land descend to a man, from the Ancestor, on the part of his Father, and a rent issuing out of it, from an Ancestor, on the part of the mother, if the party dye without issue, the Rent is revived. As to this Reason, I know well the continuance of the Kings

line, is no lesse deare to those, that alleadge the reason, then to us that confute it. So as I doe not blame the passing of the reason; but it is answered with no great difficulty; for first the law doth never respect remote and forrein possibilities, as noteably appeared in the great case betweene Sir Hugh Cholmley, and Houlford in the Exchequer, where one in the remainder, to the end to bridle tenant in tayle from suffering a common recovery, granted his remainder to the King, and because he would be sure to have it out again, without charge or trouble, when his turne was served; he limitted it to the King, during the life of tenant in tayle. Question grew whether this grant of remainder were good, yea or no. And it was said to be frivolous and void, because it could never by any possibility execute; for tenantin tayle cannot furrender, and if he dyed, the remainder likewise ceased. To which it was answered, that there was aposibility, that it might execute, which was thus; Put case that tenant in tayle should enter into Religion having no issue: then the remainder should execute, and the King should hold the land during the naturall life of tenant in tayle, notwithstanding his civill death. But the Court una vace exploded this reason, and said, that Monasteries were domne, and entries into Religion gone; and they must be up againe ere this could be, and that the Law did not respect such remote, and forreine possibilities, & so we may hold this for the like; For I think we all hope, that neither of those days shall ever come, either for Monasteries to be restored, or for the K. line to faile, but the true answer is, that & possibility fubsequent,

subsequet, remote, or not remote doth not alter the operation of law for the present. For y should be, as if in case of the Rent which you pur you should say, that in regard, that the rent maybe fevered, it should be faid, to be in ese in the meane time, and should be grantable, which is cleerely otherwise. And so in the principall case, if that should be (which God of his goodnesse forbid cessante caush, cessat effest m, the benefit of naturalization for the time to come is diffolved. But that altereth not the operation of the Law. Rebus sic fantibus. And therefore I conclude, that this difference is but a devise full of weaknesse and ignorance : and that there is one, and the fame reason of naturalizing subjects by descent, and subjests by conquest, and that is the union in the per-Son of the King; and therefore that the case of Scotland is as cleere, as that of Ireland, and they that grant the one cannot deny the other. And fo I conclude the fecond part, touching confutation.

To proceed therefore to the proofes of our part, your Lordships cannot but know many of them must be already spent, in the answer which we have made to the objections. For corruptio unius, generatio alterius, holdes aswell in Arguments, as in Nature, the destruction of an objection begets a proofe. But neverthelesse, I will avoid all iteration, least I should seem either to distract your memories, or to abuse your patience; But will hold my selse onely to these proofs, which stand substantially of themselves, and are not intermixed with matter of tinfutation. I will therefore prove unto your Lordships, that the post-natus of Scotland is by the Law of England

England nathirall, and ought fo to be adjudged by three courses of proofe.

I. IFirst upon paint of favour of Law.

2.1 Secondly, apon religions and authorities of Law.

3. And laftly, upon former prefidents & examples. Favour of Lan, what meane I by that? the Law is equall, and favoureth not: It is true, not persons: but things or matters it doth favour. Is it not a common principle, that the Law favoureth three things, Life; Liberty, & Dower? And what is the reason of this favour? This, because our Law is grounded upon the Law of Nature. And thefe three things doe flow from the Law of Nature, prefervation of life Naturall, Liberty, which every Beaft or Bird seeketh and affecteth naturally, the society of man and mife, whereof Dower is the reward naturall. It is well, doth the Law favour Liberty fo highly, as a man shall infranchise his bondman, when hee thinketh not of it, by granting to him, Lands or Goods ? And is the reason of it, quia natura omnes bornines erant liberi? and that fervitude or villenage, doth croffe and abridge the Law of Nature? And doth not the selfe same reason hold in the present case; For my Lords by the Law of Nature, all men in the world are naturalized one towards another, they were all made of one lumpe of earth, of one breath of God, they had the same common Parents. Nay at the first they were, as the Scripture sheweth, unius Lalii, of one Language, untill the curfe, which curfe (thankes be to God) our present case is exempted from. It was Civill and National Lawes, that brought in these words, and differences of Civis and Exterus, Alien E 3

Alien & Native And therefore because they tend to abridge the Law of Nature, the Law favoureth not them, but takes them strictly, even as our Law hath an excellent rule, that customes of Townes & Burroughes shall be taken and construed strictly & precifely, because they doe abridge and derogate from the law of the land. So by the same reason all Nationall Lanes what soever, are to be taken strictly and hardly in any point wherein they abridge, and derogate from the law of Nature. Whereupon I conclude that your Lordships cannot judge the law for the other fide, except the case be Luce clarius. And if it appeare to you but doubtfull, as I thinke no man in his right senses but will yeeld it, to be at least doubtfull, Then ought your Lordships (under your correction be it spoken) to pronounce for us because of the favour of the Law. Furthermore as the law of England must favour Naturalization, as a branch of the law of Nature: so it appeares manifestly, that it doth favour it accordingly. For is it not much to make a Subject Naturalized? By the law of England, it should suffice, either place or Parents, if he be born in England, it is matter no though his Parents be Spanyards, or what you will. On th'other side, if he be borne of English Parents, it skilleth not though he be borne in Spaine, or in any other place of the World. In such fort doth the Law of England open her lappe to receive in people to be Naturalized, which indeed sheweth the wisedome and excellent composition of our law. And that it is the law of a Warlike and Magnanimous Nation, fit for Empire. For looke, and you shall find that fuch fuch kind of estates have been ever liberall in point of Naturalization: whereas Marchant-like and envious estates have bin otherwise. For the reasons of law joyned with authorities, I doe first observe to your Lordships, that our assertion or affirmation is simple and plaine: that it sufficeth to naturalization, that there be one King, and that the party be, natural adsidem Regis, agreeable to the definition of Littleton: which is: Alien is he which is born out of the allegeance of our Lord the King. They of th'other side speak of respects, and quead and quaterus, and such subtilities and distinctions. To maintaine therefore our assertion 3.11 will use three kindes of proofes.

The first is that allegeance cannot be applyed to the Law or Kingdome, but to the person of the King, because the Allegeance of the Subject is more large and spatious, and hath a greater latitude, and comprehension, then the Law or the Kingdome. And therefore it cannot be a dependency of that without the which it may of it selfe subsist.

The second proofe which I will use, is, that the Naturall body of the King hath an operation and influence into his body politique, as well is his body politique bath upon his body Naturall, And therefore that although his body politique of King of England, and his body politique of King of Scotland be severalt and distinct: Yet neverthelesse, his Naturall person, which is one, hath an operation upon both, and createth a privity betweene them.

And the third proofe is the binding text of five feverall statutes.

For the first of these I shall make it manifest, that the allegeance is of a greatenextent, and dimenfrom then Lawes or Kingdome, and cannot confift by the lawes meerely, because it began before laws it continueth after Lawes and it is in vigour where Lawes are suspended, and have not their force. That it is more antient then law, appeareth by that which was spoken in the beginning by way of inducement where I did endeavour to demonstrate, that the originall age of Kingdomes was governed by naturall equity, that Kings were more antient, then Lawgivers, that the first submissions were simple, and upon confidence to the person of Kings, and that the Allegeance of Subjects to hereditary Monarchies can no more be faid to confift by lawes, then the obedience of Children to Parents And And

That Allegeance continueth after lawes. I will onely put the case, which was remembred by two great Judges in a great Assembly, the one of them now with God, which was: that if a King of England should be expulsed his Kingdome, and some particular subjects should follow him in flight, or exile inforreigne parts, and any of them there should conspire his death, that upon his recovery of his Kingdome; such a subject might by the Law of England be proceeded with; for Treason committed and perpetrated at what time he had no Kingdome, and in place when ethe Law did not bind.

That Allegeance is in vigour and force, where

the power of Law hatha cellation appeareth notably in time of Warres, for silent leges inter arma. And yet the Soveraignty, and Imperiall power of the King, is so farre, from being then extinguished, or suspended; as contrariwhe it is raised, and made more absolute, for then he may proceed by his supreame authority, and Martiall Law without observing formalities of the Lawes of his Kingdome. And therefore who foever speaketh of Lawes, and the Kings power by Lawes, and the Subjects obedience, or allegeance to Lawes, speake but of one halfe of the Crowne. For Bracton out of Justinian doth truly define, the Crowne to confist of Lawes and Armes, power Civill and Martiall, with the latter whereof the Law doth not intermeddle, so as where it is much spoken that the Subjects of England are under one Law, and the Subjects of Scotland are under another Law, it is true at Edenborough or Sterling, or againe in London, or Yorke; But if Englishmen and Scottishmen meet in an Army Royall before Calice. I hope then they are under one Law. So likewise not onely in time of warre, but in time of peregrination: If a King of England travaile, or passe through forrains territories; yet the allegeance of his Subjeds followeth him, as appearethin that notable case which is reported in Fleta, where one of the traine of K.Ed.I.as he past through France from the Holy Land, imbezelled some silver Plate at Paris, and Jurisdiction was demanded of this crime by the French Kings Counsell at Law: Ratione soli, and demanded likewise by the Officers of K. Edw. ratione persona, and after much folemnity and contestation and juterpleading, it was ruled

ruled and determined for King Edward, and the party tryed and judged before the Knight Marshall of the Kings house, and hanged after the English Law, and execution in St. Germaines meadovees: and so

much for my first proofe.

For my second maine proofesthat is drawn from the true & legall distinction of the Kings severall capacities; for they that maintaine the contrary opinion, doe in effect destroy the whole force of the Kings naturall capacity, as if it were drowned and swallowed up by his politique. And therefore I will first prove to your Lordships, that his two capacities are in no fort consounded; and secondly, that as his capacity politique worketh so upon his naturall person, as it makes it differ from all other the naturall persons of his Subjects: so i converso, his naturall body worketh so upon his politique, as the corporation of the Crowne utterly differeth from all other Corporations within the Realme.

For the first I will vouch you the very words which I find in that notable case of the Dutchie, where the question was, vehether the grants of King Ed. 6. for Dutchy lands should be avoyded in points of nonage. The case, as your Lordships know well, is reported by Mr. Plonden, as the general resolution of all the Judges of England, and the Kings learned Counsell, Rushell the Solicitour, onely except, there I find the said words, Comment. fol. 215. There is in the King not a body naturall alone, nor a body politique alone, but a body naturall and politique together, cripus corporatum in corpore naturali, & corpus naturale in corpore corporato. The like I find in the great case

case of the Lord Barkeley set downe by the same Reporter, Comment fol. 234. Though there be in the King two bodies, and that those two bodies are conjoyned; yet are they by no meanes confounded the one by the other.

Now then to see the mutuall and reciprocall eatercourse, as I may terme it, or influence, or communication of qualities that these bodies have one upon the other. The body politique of the Crowne indueth the naturall person of the King with these perfections. That the King in Law shall never be faid to be within age; that his blood shall never be corrupted; and that, if he were attainted before, the very assumption of the Crown purgeth it. That the K. shall not take but by matter of Record, although he take in his naturall capacity, as upon a guift in taile. That his body in Law shall be said to be as it were immortall, for there is no death of the King in Law, but a demise as it is tearmed; with many other the like Priviledges, and differences from other naturall persons too long to rehearse, the rather because the question laboureth not in that part. But on the contrary part, let us see what operations the Kings naturall person hath upon his Crowne and body politique: Of which the chiefest and greatest is, that it causeth the Crowne to goe by descent, which is a thing arange, and contrary to the course of all Corporations, which evermore take in fuccession, and not by descent, for no man can shew mee in all the Corporations of England, of what nature soever, vvhether they consist of one person, or of many : or whether they be Temporall or Ecclefiasticall, any one takes to him and his heires, but all to him

and his successours? And therefore here you may see what a weake course that is, to put cases of Bishops and Parsons, and the like, and to apply them to the Crowne. For the King takes to him and his heires in the manner of a naturall body, and the word successions is but superfluous, and where that is used that is ever duly placed after the words heires. The King,

his beires and Successours.

Againe no man can deny but vxor & filius funt nomina nature. A Corporation can have no wife; nor a Corporation can have no fonne; how is it then, that it is treason to compasse the death of the Queene, or of the Prince. There is no part of the body politique of the Crovvne in either of them, but it is entirely in the King. So likewise we find in the case of the Lord Barkeley, the question was who ther the Statute of 35. H. 8. for that part which concerned Queene Katherine Pars joynture were a publique act or no, of which the Judges ought to take notice, not being pleaded: And judged a publique A&. So the like question came before your Lordship, my Lord Chancellour, in Serjeant Heales case: whether the Statute of 11. of Ed. 3. concerning the intayling of the Dukedome of Cornewall to the Prince vvere a publique A& or no; and ruled like vise a publique Act. Why? no man can affirme, but these be operations of Lavv, proceeding from the dignity of the naturall person of the King: for you shall never find that another Corporation vvhatsoever of a Bishop or Master of a Colledge, or Major of Landon, vvorketh any thing in Lavy upon the wife, or sonne of the Bishop or the Major. And to conclude this point and withall to come neere to-

the case in question, I will shew you where the naturall person of the King hath not onely an operation in the case of his wife and children, but likewise in the case of his Subjects, which is the very question in hand: As for example, I put this case, can a Scottishman who is a Subject to the naturall person of the King, and not to the Crowne of England, can a Scottishman, I say, be an enemy by the Lavy to the Subjects of England, or must be not of necessity, if he should invade England, be a Rebell, and no enemy not onely as to the King, but as to the Subject? Or can any Letters of Marte or reprifall be granted against a Scottishman, that should spoyle an Englishmans goods at Sea, and certainly this case doth presse exceeding nevre the principall case, for it prooveth plainly, that the naturall person of the King, hath such a communication of qualities with his body politique; as it makes the Subjects of either Kingdomes Rand in another degree of privity one towards the other; then they did before. And so much for the second proofe.

For the five Acts of Parliament which I spoke of

which are concluding to this question?

The first of them is, that concerning the banishment of Hugh Spencer in the time of King Ed. 2. In which act there is contained, the charge, and accusation whereupon his exile proceeded. One Article of which charge is set downe in these words. Homage and O ath of the Subject is more by reason of the Crowne, then by reason of the person of the King. So that if the King doth not guide himselfe by reason in right of the Crowne, his lieges are bound by their oath to the

Crowne to remoove the King:

By which ast doth plainly appeare the perilous consequence of this distinction concerning the perfon of the King, and the Crowne. And yet I doe acknowledge Justice, and ingenuously a great difference betweene that affertion and this, which is now maintained: for it is one thing to make things distinat, another thing to make them separable, Aliud est distinaio, alind separatio, and therefore J assure my selfe, that those, that now use and urge that distinction doe as firmely hold, that the subjection to the Kings person, and to the Crowne, are inseparable, though distinct, as I doe. And it is true that the poyson of the opinion, & affertion of Spencer is like the poylon of a Scorpion, more in the taile then in the bo. dy: For it is the inference that they make which is, that the King may be deposed or removed, that is, the treason and disloyalty of that opinion: But by your leave the body is never a whit the more wholesome meate, for having such a tayle belonging to it: therefore we see that is Locus lubricus, an opinion from which a man may easly flide into an absurdity. But upon this act of Parliament, I will onely note one circumstance more, and so leave it, which may adde authority unto it in the opinion of the wifest, and that is, that these Spencers, were not ancient nobles or great Patriots that were charged and profecuted by upftarts and favourites: for then that might be faid that it was but the action of some flatterers, who use to extoll the power of Monarches to be infinite, but it was contrary; a profecution of those persons being favourites by the Nobility, so as the Nobility themselves which seldome doe fubSubscribe to the opinion of an infinite power of Monarches. Yet even they could not endure, but their blood did rise to heare that opinion: that subjection is owing to the Crowne, rather then to the person of the King.

The second A& of Parliament which determined this case, is the act of recognition in the first yeare of his Majestie, wherein you shall find, that in two severall places, the one in the Preamble, the other in the body of the Act, the Parliament doth recognize, that these two Realmes of England and Scotland are under one Imperiall Crowne. The Parliameut doth not say under one Monarchie or King which mought referre to the person, but under one Imperiall Crowne, which cannot be applyed but to the Soveraigne power of Regiment, comprehending both Kingdomes. And the third act of Parliament is the Act made in the fourth yeare of his Majesties Raigne for the abolition of hostile Lawes, wherein your Lordships shall find likewise in two places, that the Parliament doth acknowledge, that there is an union of these two Kingdomes already begun in his Majesties person. So as by the declaration of that act, they have not onely one King, but there is an union in inception in the Kingdomes themselves.

These two are Judgements in Parliament by way of declaration of Law, against which no man can speake. And certainly these are righteous and true Judgements to be relyed upon; not onely for the authority of them, but for the verity of them, for to any that shall well, and deeply weigh the essess of Law upon this conjunction; it cannot

0 0

but

but appeare, that although partes integrales of the Kingdome (as the Philosophers speake) such as the Lawes, the Officers, the Parliament are not yet commixed; yet neverthelesse there is but one, and the selfe-same sountaine of soveraigne power depending upon the ancient submission, whereof I spake in the beginning, and in that sense, the Crownes and the

Kingdomes are truly faid to be united.

And the force of this truth is such that a grave and learned Gent. that desended the contrary opinion, did confesse thus farre: That in ancient times when Monarchies (as he said) were but heapes of people, without any exact forme of policy, that then Naturalization and communication of Priviledges did sollow the person of the Monarch. But otherwise since States were reduced to a more exact forme: So as thus farre we did consent; but still I differ from him in this, that those more exact formes wrought by time, and custome; and Lawes, are neverthelesse still upon the suff foundation, and doe serve onely to perfect and corroborate the force and bond of the first submission, and in no sort to disanull or destroy it.

And therefore with these two acts doe J likewise couple the Act of 14. Ed. 3. which hath beene alleadged of the other side. For by collating of that Act with this former too, the truth of that we affirme will the more evidently appeare, according unto the rule of reason: Opposita juxta se posita magis elucescunt. That act of 14. is an act of separation. These two Acts formerly recited are Acts tending to union. This Act is an act that maketh a new Law, it is by the words of grant and establish, these

two Acts declare the common law, as it is, being by

words of Recognition and Confession.

And therefore upon the difference of these lawes you may substantially ground this position. That the Common-law of England upon the adjunction of any Kingdome unto the King of England, doth make some degree of union in the Crownes, and Kingdomes themselves: except by a speciall Act of

Parliament they be dissevered.

Lastly, the 5. Ast of Parliament, which I promified is the Ast made in the 42. of E. 3. cap. 10. which is expressed decision of the point in question. The words are, Item, (upon the Petition put into Parliament by the Commons,) That Infants borne beyond the Seas in the Seignories of Callice, and elsewhere within the lands and Seignories that pertain to our Soveraign Lord the King beyond the Seas, bee as able and inheritable of their heritage in England, as other Infants borne within the Realme of England, it is accorded that the Common-law and the Statute formerly made be holden.

Upon this Act, J inferre thus much, first that such as the Petition mentioneth, were naturalized, the practice shewes; Then, if so, it must be either by Common-law, or Statute; for so the words report, not by Statute; for there is no other statute, but 25. of E. 3. and that extends to the case of birth out of the Kings obedience, where the Parents are English, Ergo it was by the Common-law, for that onely remaines. And so by the Declarations of this statute at the Common-law. All Infants borne within the Lands and Seignories (for I give you the

very

very words againe) that pertaine to our Soveraigne Lord the King, it is not said, as are the Dominions of England, are as able and inheritable of their heritage in England, as other Infants borne within the Realme of England: what can be more plaine? And fo I leave Statutes, and goe to Presidents; for though the one doe bind more, yet the other sometimes doth satisfie more. For presidents in the producing & using of that kind of proofe, of all others it behoveth them to be faithfully vouched; for the suppressing or keeping back of a circumstance may change the case, and therefore J am determined to urge only fuch presidents, as are without all colour or scruple of exception, or objection, even of those objections which I have, to my thinking, fully answered & consuted. This is now, by the Providence of God the fourth time that the line, and Kings of England have had Dominions & Seignories united unto them, as Patrimonies, and by descent of bloud; foure unions I say there have bin inclusive with this last. The first was of Normandy in the person of William commonly called the Conqueror. The 2d. was of Gascoyne, and Guienne, and Anjou in the perfon of K. Hen, the 2d in his person I say, though by feverall titles. The 3. was of the Crowne of France, in the person of K. Edw. the third. And the 4th, of the Kingdome of Scotland in his Majesty. Of these I will set a side such, as by any cavillation can be excepted unto. First, J will set aside Normady, because it will be said, that the difference of countryes accruing by conquest, from countryes annexed by descent in matter of Communication of priviledges holdeth holdeth both wayes, as well of the part of the conquering Kingdome, as the conquered. And therfore that although Normandy was not conquest of England, yet England was a conquest of Normandy, and so a communication of priviledges between them. Againe, set aside France, for that it will be said, that although the King had a title in bloud, and by descent, yet that title was executed and recovered by Armes: So as it is a mixt title of conquest & descent, and therefore the President not so cleare.

There remaines then gascoyne & Anjou, and that president, likewise I will reduce and abridge to a time to avoid all question. For it will bee said of them also, that after they were lost and recovered in ore gladii, that the antient title of bloud was extinct & that the King was in upon his new title by conquest, & Mr. walter had found a book case, in 13.0f H.6.abridged by Mr. Fitz-Herbert, in title of protection, placito 56. where a protection was cast, quia profesturus in Gosconiam with the Earle of Huntingdon, and challenged because it was not a voyage royall, & the Juftices thereupon required the fight of the comission, which was brought before them, & purported power to pardon Felonies, & treason, power to coyn money, & power to conquer them that refift, wherby M. Walter finding the word coaquest, collected that the Kings title at that time was reputed to bee by Conquest, wherein I may not omit to give Obiter that Answer, which Law and Truth provideth, namely that when any King obteyneth by warre a Countrey, whereunto he hath right by Birth, that hee is ever in upon his Antient Right, not upon his purchase by Conquelt,

quest; and the Reason is, that there is as well a Judgement and recovery by Warre and Armes, as by law and course of Justice; for war is a tribunall feat, wherein God giveth the judgment, & the tryall is by battaile, or Duell, as in the case of tryall of private right, and then it followes, that who soever commeth in by eviction, comes in his remitter: so as there will bee no difference in Countreyes wherof the right commeth by descent, whether the possession be obtained peaceably or by war, but yet neverthelesse, because I will utterly take away all manner of evasion, & subtersuge, I will yet set apart that part of time in and during, the which, the subjects of Gascoyne & Guyenne might beethought to be subdued by a reconquest. And therefore I will not meddle with the Prior of Shellies case, though it be an excellent case; because it was in § time, 27. of E.3. neither will I meddle with any cases, records, or prefidents, in the time of King H. 5. or King H. 8. for the same reason, but will hold my selfe to a portion of time, from the first uniting of these Provinces in the time of King H.2.untill the time of K. John. At what time those Provinces were lost, and from that time againe unto the 17. yeere of the Reigne of K. Edw. 2. at what time the Statute of prarogativa Regis was made, which altered the law in the point in hand.

That both in these times, the Subjects of Gascoyn, and Guyenne, and Anjou, were naturalized for inheritance in England by the lawes of England. I shall manifestly prove, and the proofe proceeds, as to the former time (which is our case) in a very high degree, a minore ad majus, and as we say, a multo fortio-

re. For if this priviledge of naturalization remained unto them when the Countreyes were loft, and became subjects in possession to another King: much more did they enjoy it, as long as they continued

under the Kings subjection.

Therefore to open the State of this point. After these Provinces were through the perturbations of the State in the infortunate time of K. John loft, and severed, the principall persons which did adhere unto the French were attainted of Treason, and their eschears here in England taken and seized'. But the people that could not relist the tempest when their Heads and Leaders were revolted, continued inheritable to their possessions in England, and reciprocally the people of England inherited and succeeded to their possessions in Gascoyne, and were both accounted, ad fidem utriusque Regis, untill the Statute of Prerogativa Regis, wherein the wisdome and justice of the Law of England is highly to be commended. For of this law, there are two grounds of reason, The one of equity, The other of policy. That of Equity was because the common people were in no fault, but as the Scripture saith in a like case, quid fecerunt oves ifta? It was the cowardise and disloyalty of their Governours that descrived punishmet, butwhat had these sheep done, and therefore to have punish't them, and deprived them of their lands & fortunes had bin unjust. That of policy was, because if the law had forthwith upon the losse of the Countreyes by an accident of time pronounced the people for Aliens, it had been a kind of Cession of their right, and a disclaymer in them, and so a greater difficulty to recover them.

And:

And therefore we see the Statute, which altered the law in this point, was made in the time of a weake king, that, as it seemed, despaired ever to recover his right, and therefore thought better to have a little present profit by escheats, then the continuance of his claime, and the countenance of his right by the admitting of them to enjoy their inheritan-

ces, as they did before.

The State therefore of this point, being thus opened, it resteth to prove our assertion that they were naturalized; for the clearing whereof, I shall need but to reade the authorities, they be so direct and pregnant. The first is the very text of the Statute of Prarogativa Regis. Rex habebit escaetas de terris Normannorum cujuscunque feodi suerint, salvo servitio, quod pertinet ad capitales dominos feodi illius, so hoc similiter intelligendum est, si aliqua hareditas descendat alicui nato in partibus transmarinis, so cujus antecessores suerunt ad sidem Regis Francia, ut tempore Regis Iohannis, so non ad sidem Regis Anglia, sicut contigit de Baronia Monumeta, soc.

By which Statute it appeares plainly that before the time of King Iohn, there was no colour of any Escheate, because they were the kings Subjects in possession, as Scotland now is, but onely it deter-

mines the Law, from that time forward.

This Statute if it had in it any obscurity, it is taken away by two lights, the one placed before it, and th'other placed after it, both authors of great credit, the one for antient, th'other for late times. The former is Braffon in his Cap. de exceptionibus, lib. 5. fol. 4.2.7 and his words are these, Est etiam & alia

alia exceptio qua tenenti competit ex persona petentis propter desestum Nationis, qua dilatoria est, Enco perimit adionem, ut si quis alienigena qui suerit ad sidem Regis Francia, Eastionem instituat versus aliquem qui suerit ad sidem Regis Anglia, tali non respondeatur saltem donec terra suerint communes.

By these words it appeareth, that after the losse of the Provinces beyond the Seas, the Naturalization of the Subjects of those Provinces was in no fort extinguished, but onely was in suspence during time of warre and no longer; for he faith plainly, that the exception which we call plea to the perfon of Alien, was not peremptory but onely dilatery, that is to fay, during the time of war, and untill there were peace concluded, which hee tearmes by these words, donec terra fuerint communes, which though the phrase seeme somewhat obscure is expounded by Bracton himselfe in his fourth booke, fol. 297 to be of peace made and concluded whereby the Inhabitants of England, and those Provinces might enjoy the profits and fruits of their lands in either place communiter, that is respectively, or as well the one as th'other: fo as it is cleere, they were Miens in right, but onely interrupted and debarred of Suites in the Kings Courts in time of Warre.

The authority after the Statute, is, that of Master Stamfords, the best Expositor of a statute of hath bin in our law, a man of reverend judgment, excellent order in his writings, his words are in his exposition upon the branch of of statute which we read before.

By this branch it should appeare, that at this time men of Normandy, Gascoyne, Guienne, Anjou, and Brittaine were inheritable within this Realme, aswell as English-men, because that they were sometimes Subjeds to the Kings of England and under their Dominion, untill K. Johns time, as is aforesaid, of yet after his time, those men ( saving such whose lands were taken away for treason ) were fill inheritable within this Realme, till the making of this Statute, and in the time of peace betweene the two Kings of England, and France, they were answerable within this Realme, if they had brought any action for their Lands and Tenements.

So as by these three authorities, every one so plainly pursuing th'other, we conclude that the subjects of Gascoyne, Guienne, Anjou, and the rest from their first union by descent, untill the making of the Statute, of prarogativa Regis, were inheritable in England, and to be answered in the Kings Courts in all actions, except it were in time of warre. Nay more (which is de abundante) that when the Provinces were loft, and difannexed, and that the King was but King de jure over them, and not de facto: Yet neverthelesse, the priviledge of naturalization continued.

There relieth yet one objection, rather plaufible to a popular understanding, then any waies forcible in law, or learning, weh is a difference taken between the Kingdome of Scotland, and these Dutchies, for that the one is a Kingdome, and thother was not so, and therefore that those Provinces being of an

inferior

inferiour nature, did acknowledge our Lawes, and Seales, and Parliament which the Kingdome of Scotland doth not.

This difference was well given over by Mr. Walter, for it is plaine, that a Kingdome and absolute Dukedome, or any other Soveraigne estate doe differ bonore, and not potestate; For divers Dutchies, and Countries that are now, were fometimes Kingdomes; and divers kingdomes that are now, were sometimes Dutchies, or of other inferiour Style, wherein we neede not travaile abroad since we have in our owne state so notorious an instance of the Countrey of Ireland, whereof King H. 8. of late time was the first that writ himselfe King the former Style being L. of Freland and no more, and yet Kings had the same authority before, that they have had fince and the same Nation the same marks of a Soveraigne State, as their Parliaments, their Armes, their Coynes, as they now have, so as this is too superficiall an allegation labour upon.

And if any doe conceive, that Gascome and Guyenne were governed by the Lawes of England. First,
that cannot be in reason, for it is a true ground, that
where sever any Princes Title unto any Countrey
is by Law, he can never change the Lawes, for that
they create his Title: and therefore no doubt those
Dutchies retained their owne Lawes, which if they
did, then they could not be subject to the Lawes of
England

And

And next againe the fact or practize was otherwise, as appeareth by all consent of Story and Record: For those Dutchies continued governed by the Civill Law, their tryalls by witnesses and not by Jurie, their lands Testamentary, and the like.

Now for the colours, that some have endeavoured to give, that they should have beene subordinate to the government of England, they were partly weake, and partly fuch as make, strongly against them, for as to that, that writs of Habeas corpus under the great Seale of England have gone to Gascoyne, it is no manner of proofe, for that the Kings writs which are mendatory and not writs of ordinary Justice may goe to his Subjects into any forraine parts what soever, and under what Seale it pleaseth him to use; and as to that, that some A as of Parliament have beene cited, wherein the Perhaments of England have taken upon them to order matters of Gascoyne, if those Statutes be well looked into, nothing doth more plainly convince the contrary, for they intermeddle with nothing but that that concerneth either the English Subjects personally; or the territories of England locally, and never the Subjects of Gascone, for looke upon the Statute of 27. of Ed. 3. ca. 5. there it is said, That there shall be no fore-stalling of wines, but by whom? onely by Enghish Merchants, not a word of the Subjects of Gascome, and yet no doubt they might be offenders in the fame kind or regelet or near brong real real this banlens

20

So in the fixt Chapter it is said. That all Marchants, Gascoynes may safely bring Wines into what part it shall please them, here now are the persons of Gascoynes, but then the place ubether? into the Realme of England, and in the 7. Chap, that erects the Ports of Burdeaux and Bayonne, for the staple Townes of wine, the Statute ordaines that it any, but who? English Marchant or his Servants shall buy or bargaine other where, his body shall be arrested by the Steward of Gascoyne, or the Constable of Burdeaux: true, for the Officers of England could not catch him in Gascoyne, but what shall become of him, shall he be proceeded with within Gascoyne? No, but he shall be sent over into England into the Tower of London.

And this doth notably disclose the reason of that custome, which some have sought to wrest the other way, that custome, I say, whereof a forme doth yet remaine, that in every Parliament the King doth appoint certaine Committees in the Upper-House to receive the Petitions of Normandy, Guyenne and the rest, which as by the former Statute doth appeare could not be for the ordering of the governments there, but for the liberties, and good usage of the Subjects of those parts, when they came hither, or via versa, for the restraining of the abuses and misdemeanors of our Subjects when they went thither.

of the mischiefes, I hold it not fit for this place, Gg 2 lest lest we should seeme to bend the Lawes to policy and not to take them in their true and naturall sense. It is enough that every man knowes, that it is true of these two Kingdomes, which a good Father said of the Churches of Christ: Si inseparabiles insuperabiles. Some things I may have forgot, and some things perhaps I may forget willingly; for I will not presse any opinion or declaration of late time which may prejudice the liberty of this debate, but ex distis, & ex non distis, upon the whole matter I prove Judgement for the Plaintisse.

the feet of her received with a series of the control of the contr

Autonomica នូវ៉ែនៅការប្រកាស់ក្នុងកាំខ្លួន បែកឯងA

THE STATE OF THE PERSON OF THE STATE OF THE

S. Totale to serious in all 1 and 1 December 1 and 1 December 1 and 1 december 2 and 1 dece

The michigan and the following the following of the first of the first



## A SPEECH VSED

by Sr Francis Bacon in the Honourable House of Commons, quinto Iacobi, concerning the Article of Naturallization of the Scotish Nation.

T may please you (Master Speaker) presace will I use none, but put my selfe upon your good opinions, to which I have been accustomed beyond my deservings, neither will I

hold you in suspence, what way I will chuse, but now at the first declare my selfe, that I mean to counsell the House to Naturallize this Nation, wherein neverthelesse I have a request unto you, which is of more efficacy to the purpose I have in hand, than all that I shall say afterwards: And it is the same request, which **Demost henes** did more than once, in great causes of estate, make to the people of Athens, That when they took into their hands the Balls, whereby to give their voices (according as the manner of them was) they would raise their thoughts, and lay aside those considerations, which their

their private vacations and degrees might minister and represent unto them, and would take upon them, cogitations and mindes agreeable to the

dignity and honour of the estate.

For (Master Speaker) as it was aptly and sharply faid by Alexander to Parmenio, when upon their recitall of the great offers which Darius made, Parmenio said unto him, I would accept these offers, were I as Alexander, he turned it upon him again, fo would I (faith he) were I as Parmenio so in this caause: if an honest English merchant (I do not fingle out that State in difgrace, for this Island ever held it honourable, but onely for an instance of private protession) If an English merchant should fay, furely I would proceed no further in the union, were I as the King, it might bee reasonably answered, no more would the King, were he as an English merchant: and the like may be faid of a Gentleman in the countrey, be he never so worthy or sufficient, or of a Lawyer be hee never so wise or learned, or of any other particular condition in this Kingdome; for certainly, Master Speaker, if a man shall be onely or chiefly sensible of those respects which his particular affection, and degree shall suggest, and insuse into him, and not enter into true and worthy confiderations of estate, he shall never be able aright to give counsell, or take counsell in this matter, for that if this request be granted, I account the cause obtained. The 1/1 Milang Dev

But to proceed to the matter it selfe; all consultations do rest upon questions comparative, for when a question is de vero, it is simple, for there is but one truth, but when a question is de bono, it is for the most part comparative, for there be differing degrees of good and evill, and the best of the good is to be preferred and chosen, and the worst of the evill is to be declined and avoided, and therefore in questions of this nature, you may not look for answer, proper to every inconvenience alleadged, for somewhat that cannot be specially answered, may neverthelesse been countred, and overweighed by matter of greater moment, and therefore, for the matter which I shall set forth unto you, will naturally receive the distribution of three parts.

First, an answer to these inconveniences which The answer have been alleaged to ensue, if we should give way to the inconto this Naturallization, which I suppose you will veniencies objected consot finde to be, which I suppose will not be so cerning the great, as they have been made, but that much Naturalizations drosse is put into the ballance, to helpe to make on.

weight.

Secondly, an encounter against the remainder of these inconveniencies, which cannot properly be answered, by much greater inconveniencies, which we shall incurre, if we do not proceed to this Naturallization.

Thirdly, an encounter likewise, but of another nature, that is by the gain and benefit, which we shall draw and purchase to our selves by proceeding to this Naturalization. And yet to avoid confusion, which ever followeth of too much generality, it is necessary for me (before I proceed to

H 2

er-

perswasion) to use some distribution of the points or parts of Naturalization, which certainly can be no better, or none other, than the ancient distinction of Ins Civitatis, jus suffragii vel tribus, jus petitionis sive honoris: for all ability and capacity is either of private interest, of Meum & Tuum, or of publike service, and the publike consistent chiefly either in voice or in office, now it is the first of these (Master Speaker) that I will onely handle at this time, and in this place, and referre the other two for a Committee, because they receive more distinction and restriction.

To come to the inconveniencies alleaged on the other part, the first of them is, that there may enfue of this Naturalization, a furcharge of people upon this Realme of England, which is supposed already, to have the full charge and contentment, and therefore there cannot be an admission of the adoptive, without a dismission of the former times, and conditions of all those, that are native subjects of this Realme. A grave objection, (Ma-fler Speaker) and very dutifull, for it proceeds not, of any unkindnesse to the Scotish Nation, but of a naturall fastnesse to our selves, for that answer of the Virgins, Ne forte non Sufficiat vobis & nobis, proceeds not out of any envy, or maligne humour, but out of providence, and the originall charity, which begins with our felves, and I must confesse (M. Speaker) that as the Gentleman faid, when Abraham and Lot, in regard of the greatnesse of their Families, grew pent and straitned, It is true, that though they were brethren, they grew to difference, and to those words, Vade tu ad dexteram, & ego ad finistram; But certainly, I should never have brought that example on that fide, for we fee what followed of it, how this separation Addexteram & ad sinistram, caused the miserable captivity of the one brother, and the dangerous though prosperous war of the other, for his rescue and recovery.

But to this objection, (Master Speaker) being fo weighty, and fo principall, I mean to give three severall answers, every one of them being to my

understanding by it selfe sufficient.

The first is, that the opinion, of the number of The opinion the Scotish Nation, that should be likely to plant of the numthemselves here amongst us, will be found to be a ber of the thing, rather in concert than event, for (Master Scotish Na-Speaker) you shall find these plausible similitudes of a tree, that will thrive the better, if it be removed into the more fruitfull foil, and of sheep or cattell, that if they finde a gap or passage open, will leave the more barren pasture, and get into the more rich and plentifull, to bee but arguments meerlysuperficial, & to have no sound resemblace, with the transplanting or transferring of families; for the tree we know by nature, as soon as it is set in the better ground, can fasten upon it, and take nutriment from it, and a sheep as soon as he gets into the better pasture, what should let him to grase or feed? but there belongeth more I take it, to a family or particular person, that shall remove from one Nation to another, for if (Master Speaker) they have not stocke, meanes, acquaintance,

Unger styres

H 3

Conjecturall experience.

and custome, habitation, trades, countenance, and the like, I hope you doubt not, but they will starve in the midst of the rich pasture, and are farre enough from grazing at their pleasure, and therefore in this point, which is conjecturall, experience is the best guide for the time past, is a patern of the time to come, I think no man doubteth (Master Speaker) but his Majesties first comming in, was the greatest spring-tide for the confluence, and enterance of that Nation.

Now I would fain understand in these four yeers space, and the fulnesse, and strength of the Court and Tide, how many families of the Scotch men, are planted in the Cities, Burroughs, and Townes of this Kingdome, for I do assure my selfe, then more then some persons of quality, about his Majesties person here at Court and in London, and some other inseriour persons, that have a dependance upon them, the returne and certificate, if such a Survey should be made, would be of a number extreamly small, I report me to all your private knowledges of the places where you inhabite.

Now (Master Speaker) as I said, Si in ligno viridi ita fit, quid fiet in arido? I am sure there will be no more such spring-tides; but you will tell me of a multitude of families of the Scotish nation in Polonia, and if they multiply in a Countrey so farre, how much more at hand, for that (Master Speaker) you must impute it of necessity to some speciall accident of time and place that drawes them thither: for you see plainly before your eyes, that in Geneva, which is much neerer, where in

France

France they are invited with privileges, and with the very privilege of Naturalization, yet no fuchnumber can befound, so as it cannot either be nearnesse of place, or privilege of person that is the cause. But shall I tell you, (Master Speaker) what I thinke; In all the places of the world, neer or farre off, they will never take that course of life in this Kingdome, which they content themselves with in Poland; for we see it to be the nature of all men that will rather discover poverty abroad than at home; There is never a Gentleman, that hath over-reached himselse in expences, and thereby must abate his countenance, but he will rather travell, and do it abroad than at home, and we know well they have good high stomacks, and have ever stood in fome tearmes of emulation, and therefore they will never live here except they can live in good fashion, so as I assure you, Master Speaker, I am of opinion, that the first which we now have, to admit them, will have like as that contention had between the Nobility and people of Rome, for admitting of a Plebeian Common-wealth, whilest it was in passage it was very vehement, and mightily stood upon, and when the people had obtained it, they never made any Plebeian Conful, not in 60. yeers after, and so will this be for many yeers, as I am perswaded, rather a matter in opinion and reputation, than in effect, and this is the first answer, that I give to this main inconvenience pretended of surcharge of people.

The second answer, which I give to this objepeopled to
caion is this, I must have leave to doubt, Master the full,

Speak-

Speaker, that this Realme of England is not peopled to the full, for certain it is, that the territories of France, Italy, Flanders, & some part of Germany, do in equall space of ground, bear and contain a farre greater quantity of people, if they were mustered by the Poll, neither can I see, that this Kingdome is so much inferior, unto those forreigne parts in fruitfulnesse, as it is in population, which makes me conceive we have not our full charge; besides, I do see manifestly amongst us, the badges and tokens, rather of scarcenesse, than of presse of people, as drowned grounds, Commons, Wastes, and the like, which is a plain demonstration, that how soever there may be an overswelling throng and presse of people here about London, which is most in our eye, yet the body of the Kingdome is but thin sowne with people, and who soever shall compare the ruines and decayes of ancient Townes in this Realme, with the erectments and augmentations of new, cannot but judge that this Realme hath been farre better peopled in former times, it may be in the Heptarchie, or otherwife generally the rule holdeth, The smaller the State the greater the population Pro rato, And whether this be true or no, we need not feek further, than to call to our remembrance, how many of us ferve here in this place, for defolate and decayed Eurroughs.

Mediters
rane, not
Maritime
Countries
furcharged
with people,

Again, Master Speaker, whosoever looketh into the principle of estate, must hold, that it is the Mediterrane countries, and not the Maritime which need to sear surcharge of people, for all sea-pro-

vinces and especially Ilands have another element besides the earth and soil, for their sustentations what an infinite of people are, and may be sustained by fishing, carriage by sea, and merchandizing, wherein I do again discover, that we are not all prickt by the multitude of people, for if we were, it were not possible, we should relinquish and refigne fuch an infinite benefit of fifthing, to the Flemming, as it is well knowne we do, and therefore I see, that we have wastes by sea, as well as by land, which still is an infallible argument that our industry is not awakened, to seek maintenance to any our great charge and presse of people. And laftly, (Master Speaker) there was never any Kingdom in this world, had I thinke, so fair and happy meanes to issue and discharge the multitude of their people, as this Kingdom hath, in regard of that desolate and wasted Kingdom of Ireland, which being a countrey bleffed with almost all the dowries of nature, as rivers, havens, woods, quarries, good foil, and temperate climate, and now at last blest under his Majesty also with obedience, doth as it were continually call unto us, for our colonies and plantations, and so I conclude my second answer, to this pretended inconvenience of furcharge of people.

The third answer (Master Speaker) which I give is this, I demand what is the worst effect that can follow of your surcharge of people, look into it and you shall finde it none other than some honorable war, for the enlargement of their borders, which finde themselves pent upon forreigne parts;

with

with inconveniences, which in a warlike Nation, I know not whether I should terme an inconvenience or no, for the faying is most true, though in another sense, Omne solum forci patria. It was spoken of the patience of an exiled man, but it is no lesse true in the valour of a warlike Nation, and certainly (Master Speaker) I hope I may speak it without offence, that whenfoever we should hold our selves worthy, and when soever just cause should be given, either to recover our antient rights, or to revenge our late wrongs, or to attain the honour of our ancestours, or to enlarge the patrimony of our posterities, we would never in this manner forget the confiderations of amplitude and greatnesse, and fall at variance about profit and reckonings, fitter a great deal for private persons, than for Parliaments, and Kingdomes, and thus (Master Speaker) I leave this first objection, to such satisfactions as you have heard of.

The fundamental Laws of England are divers and severall.

11 07

The fecond objection is, that the fundamentall lawes of these Kingdomes, of England and Scotand Scotland land, are yet diverse and severall, nay more that it is declared by the instrument, that they shall so continue; and that there is no intent in his Majesty to make innovation in them, and therefore that it should not be seasonable, to proceed to this Naturalization, wherebyto endow them with our rights, and priviléges, except they should likewise receive; and submittethemselves to our lawes, and this objection likewife (Master Speaker): I allow to be a weighty objection and worthy to be well answered, and discussed a post on the last in the

The answer which I shall offer is this, It is true The answer for mine owne part (Master Speaker) that I wish to the second the Storiff Nation governd by our Laws, for I hold objection, our Lawes, with some reducement, worthy to governe, and it were the world, but this is that which I say, and I desire therein your attention, That according to true reason of estate, Naturalization is in order first and precedent to union of Lawes. and in nature separable, and in degree a lesse matter, and not inseparable from union of Lawes, for Naturalization doth but take out the markes of a Forreigner, but union of Laws makes them intirely as our selves, Naturalization taketh away separation. but union of Lawes doth take away distinction: do we not see, Master Speaker, that in the administration of the World under the great Monarch God himselfe, that his Lawes are diverse, one Law in spirits, another in bodies, one Law in Regions Cœlestiall, another in Elementary, and yet the Creatures are all one masse or lumpe, without any vacuum or separation, do we not likewise see in the state of the Church, that amongst all people, of all Languages, and Linages, there is Communion of Saints, and that we are all fellow-citizens, and Naturalizants of the heavenly Ierusalem, and yet neverthelesse, divers Ecclesiasticall Lawes, Policies and Hierarchies, according to the speech of that worthy Father, In veste varietas sit, scissiva non sie, and these certainly (Master Speaker) as they are the bonds of love, they are the move speciall and private bond, and the bond of Naturalization, the more common and generall, for the I 2 Lawes

Paynings lawes.

Lawes are rather Figura Reipublica, than forma, and rather bonds of persection, than bonds of entirenesse, and therefore we see in the experience of our owne government, that in the Kingdome of Ireland, all our Statutes and Lawes, fince Pognings Lawes, are not in force, and yet we deny them not the benefit of Naturalization, in Gersey and Iernsey and the Isle of Man, our common Lawes are not in force, and yet they have the benefit of Naturalization, neither need any man doubt, but that our Lawes and customes must in small time gather and win upon theirs, for here is the feat of the Kingdome, whence come the supreame directions of estate, here is the Kings person and example, of which the verse saith, Regis ad exemplam totus componitur orbis. And therefore it is not possible, although not by folemne and formall acts of estates, yet by the secret operation of no long time, but they will come under the yoake of our Lawes, Dulcis tractus pari jugo, and this is the answer I give to the second objection.

Inequality in the fortunes between England and Scotland

The third objection, is some inequality, in the fortunes of these two Nations, England and Scotland, by the commixture whereof, there may ensue advantage to them, and losse to us, wherein (Master Speaker) it is well that this difference or disparity consisteth, but in the externall goods of fortune, for indeed it must be consessed that for the goods of the minde and body they are alteri nos or our selves for to do them but right, we know in their capacities and understandings, they are a people ingenious, a labour industrious, in courage valiant,

inbody hard, active, and comely, more might be said, but in commending them, we do but in effect, commend our selves, for they are of one part, and continent with us, and the truth is, we are participant, both of their vertue, and vices, for if they have been noted, to be a people not so tractable in government, we cannot without flattering our selves, free our selves altogether from that fault, being indeed incident to all martiall people, as we fee it evident by the example of the Romans, and others, even like unto fierce horses, that though they be of better service than others, yet they are

harder to guide and manage.

But for this objection (Master Speaker) I purposeto answer it, not by the authority of Scriptures, which saith, Beatius est dare quam accipere, but an authority, framed and derived from the judgement of our selves, and our ancestors in the same case; as to the point, for (Master Speaker) in all the lives of our Kings, none useth to carry greater commendation than his Majesties noble progenitor King Edward the first of that name; and amongst his other commendations, both of war and policy, none is more celebrated, than his purpose and enterprise, for that conquest of Scotland, as not bending his designes, to glorious acquests abroad, but to solid strength at home, which nevertheles if it had succeeded, could not but have brought in all these inconveniences, of the com-Lawes nor mixture of a more opulent Kingdom, with a lesse, not alter the that are now alleged, for it is not the yoake, either nature of clis of our Lawes or Armes, that can alter the nature mates.

of the climate, or the nature of the foil, neither is it the manner of the commixture, that can alter the nature of commixture, and therefore (Master Speaker) if it were good for us, then it is good for us now, and not to be prized the lesser, because we payed not so dear for it. But a more full objection, I referre over to that, which will come after, to be

spoken touching furety, and greatnesse.

The fourth objection (Master Speaker) is not properly an objection, but rather a preoccupation of an objection, of the other fide, for it may be faid, and very materially, whereabouts we do contend, the benefit of Naturalization is by the Law, in as many as have been, or shall be borne, since his Majesties comming to the Crowne, already setled and invested, there is no more then, but to bring the Ante-nati, into the degree of the Post-nati, that men growne, that have well deserved, may be in no worse case, than children which have not deserved, and elder brothers in no worse case, than younger brothers, so as we stand upon quiddam, non quantum, being but a little difference of time, of one generation from another, to this (Master Speaker) it is faid by some, that the Law is not so, but that the Post-nati are aliens as the rest. A point that I mean not much to argue, both because it hath been well fpoken, by the gentleman that fpoke last before me, and because I do desire in this case, and in this place, to speak rather of conveniency, than of Law, onely this I will fay, that, that opinion feemes to me contrary to opinion of Law, and contrary to authority, and experience of Law; for rea-

fon of Law, when I meditate of it, me thinkes the wisdom of the Common Lawes of England well observed, is admirable in the distribution of the benefit and protection of the Lawes, according to the severall conditions of persons in an excellent proportion, the degrees are four, but bipartite; two of Aliens, and two of Subjects.

The first degree is of an alien borne under a King, The first deor Stare, that is enemy, if fuch an one come into gree of an this Kingdom without safe conduct, it is at his perill, the law giveth him no protection, neither for body, lands, nor goods, so as if he be flain, there is no remedy by any appeal at the parties fuit, although the party were an English woman, marry at the Kings fuit, the case may be otherwise in regard of the offence, to the peace, and Crowne.

The second degree is of an alien that is borne. The second under the faith and allegiance of a King or State, degree of an alien borne that is a friend unto such a person, the Law doth under a State, impart a great benefit and protection that is con-that is a, cerning things personall, transitory and moveable, friend, as goods and chattels, contracts and the like, but not concerning freehold and inheritance, and the reafon is because he may be an enemy, though he be not; for the State under the obey sance of which he is may enter into quarrell, and hostility, and therefore as the Law, hathbut a transitory assurance of him, so it rewards him, but with transitory benefits.

The third degree is of a subject who having been The third dean alien is by charter and denization, to fuch an one alien fub. the Law doth impart yet a more ample benefit, for jea. it gives him power to purchase freehold and inheritance to his owne use, and likewise to enable those

children,

children, borne after his denization to inherit, but neverthelesse he cannot make title, or convey pedigree from any ancestours paramount, for the Law thinkes not good to make him in the same degree, with a subject borne, because he was once an alien, and so might once have been an enemy, Et nemo subject bingitur, affections cannot be settled by any benefit, as when from their Nativity, they are inbred, and inherent.

The fourth degree the perfect de-

And the fourth degree, which is the perfect degree, is of such a person, as neither is enemy, nor can be enemy in time to come, nor would have been enemy in time past, nor can be enemy in time to come, therefore the Law gives unto him, the full benefit of Naturalization.

Now (Master Speaker) if these be true steps and paces of the Law, no man can deny, but whosever is borne under the Kings obedience never could In aliquo puncto temporis be an enemy, and therefore in reason of Law, is naturall. Nay, contrariwise, he is bound jure nativitatis to defend this Kingdome of England, against all Innovators and Rebels, and therefore as he is obliged to the protection of aliens, and that perpetually, and universally, so he is to have that perpetuall and universall benefit and protection of Lawes which is Naturalization.

For forme of pleading it is true that hath been faid, that if a man would pleade another to be an alien, he must not onely set forth negatively, and primitively, that he was borne out of the obedience of our Sovereigne Lord the King, but affirmatively, under the obedience of a forreigne King or State, in particular, which can never be done in this case.

As

As for authoritie, I will not presse it, you know all what hath beene published by the Kings Proclamation, and for experience of Lawes, we see it in the subjects of Ireland, in the subjects of Gernsey, and Jernsey, parcells of the Dutchie of Normandy, in the Subjects of Callis, when it was English, which was parcell of the Crowne of France. But as I said, I am not willing to enter into an argument of Law, but to hold my selfe to poynt of conveniencie, so as for my part, I hold all, Naturales ipso jure, But yet I am farre from opinion, that it should be a thing superfluous to have it done by.

Chiefely in respect of that true principall of State Principum actiones ad famam sunt Componende. It will lift up a signe to all the World, of our Loves towards them, and good agreement with them, and these are (Mr. Speaker, the materiall objections, which have beene on the other side, whereunto you have heard my answers, weigh them in your wisedomes, and so I conclude that generall part.

Now (Mr. Speaker) according as I promised, I must fill the other ballance in expressing unto you the inconvenience, which we shall incurre, if wee shall not proceed to this Naturalization, wherein that convenience above all others, and alone by it selfe, if there were none other, doth exceedingly move me, and may move you, is a position of estate, collected out of the Records of time, which is this, That whatsoever severall Kingdoms or estates, have beene united in Soveraigntie, if that union hath not beene fortissed, and bound in with a further union, and namely that which is now in question

K

(of Naturalization) this hath followed, that at one time or other they have broken, being upon all occasions apt to relaps and revolt to the former

separation.

The unio Of this affertion, the first example which I between will set before you, is of the memorable Vnion, the Romans and which was betweene the Romans and the Latins, the La which continued from the Battaile at the Lake of tins.

Regilla, for many yeares unto the Consulship of c.

\* 169 \* Plantins, and L. Amilius Mamercus.

years af-At what time, there began about this very poynt ter that of Naturalization, that warre which was called Belbattell. There are lum Sociale, being the most bloudy and pernitious extant at warre that ever the Roman state endured, wherein this day Coyns or after numbers of battailes, and infinite fieges and Medalles, furprizes of Townes, the Romans in the end prein memo vailed, and mastered the Latins: And ever as they rie of a held the honour of the warre, so looking back battell fo ght by into what perdition and confusion they were neare this C. to have beene brought, they presently Naturalized, Plautius at Priverthem all: you speake of a Naturalization in blood, num. there was a naturalization indeed in bloud.

Sparta & Pa'opo nesus.

Let mee set before you againe the example of Sparta, and the rest of the Peloponnesus their associates. The State of Sparta was a nice and jealous state of this poynt of imparting Naturalization to their consederates. But what was the issue of it after they held them in a kinde of societie and amitie for divers years, upon the sirst occasion given, we was no more than the surprisal of the Castle of Thebes, by certaine desperate conspirators in the habite of Masters, there insued immediately a general revolt.

volt and defection of their affociates, which was the ruine of their stare, never after to bee recovered.

Of later times, let me lead your considerations, The unito behold the like events in the Kingdome of Arra- on of the gon, which Kingdome was united with Caffile, and Kingdom of Arrathe rest of Spain, in the persons of Ferdinando, and gon. Isabella, and so continued many yeares; but yet fo as it stood a Kingdome severed and divided from the rest of spain in priviledges, and directly in this poynt of Naturalization, or capacitie of inheritance, what came of this; thus much, that now offresh memorie, not past twelve yeares since; onely upon the voyce of a condemned man, out of the grate of a prison, towards the street, that cryed,

which is as much as Liberties or Priviledges, there was raised a dangerous rebellion, which was suppressed with difficulty, with an Armie Royall, and their priviledges disannulled, and they incorporated, with the rest of spain, upon so small a spark, notwithstanding so long continuance, were

ready to break and sever againe.

The like may be said of the State of Florence and Florence Pisa, which Citie of Pisa being united unto Flo- and Pisa. rence, but not endued with the benefit of Naturallization, upon the first sight of forraine assistance, by the expedition of Charles the eighth of France Charles into Italy, did revolt, though it bee fince againe re-the 8. united and incorporated.

The same effect we see in the most barbarous go- The like vernment, which shewes it the rather to be an effect effects in of nature; for it was thought a fit policie by the barbarous

K 2

Councell govern-

Councell of Constantinople, to retaine the Provinces of Transylvania, Valachia, and Moldavia, which were as the nurses of Constantinople, in respect of their provisions, to the end they might be the lesse wasted, onely under Vayvods, as Vasfalls and Homagers, and not under Bashaws, as Provinces of the Turkish Emprie, which policie wee see by late experience proved unfortunate, as appeared by the revolt of the same three Provinces, under the Armies and Conduct of Sigismond Prince of Tran-Islvania, aleader verie famous for a time, which revolt is not yet fully recovered, whereas wee feldome or never heare of revolts of Provinces incorporate to the Turkish Empire.

On the other part (Mr. Speaker) because it is true which the Logicians say, Opposita juxta se posita magis: Let us take a view, and we shal find that wheresoever Kingdomes and States have beene united, Naturali- and that union incorroberate, by the bond of Na-

zation a turalization mutually, you shall never observe them afterward upon any occasion of trouble or otherwise, to breake and sever againe, as wee see most evidently before our eyes, in our Provinces of France, that is to fay Guyen, Province, Normandy; Britain, which not withstanding the infinite infesting troubles of that Kingdome, never offered to breake againe.

Wee see the like effect in all the Ringdomes of Spain, which are murually naturalized, as Leon, Castile, Valentia, Andaluzia, Granada, and the rest, except Arragon, which held the contrarie course, and therefore had the contrary successe, as it was

faid of Portugall, of which there is not yet sufficient tryall: and lastly, wee see the like effect in our owne Nation, which never rent assunder after it England was united; so as we now scarce know whether the vered af-Heptarchy were aftorie or a Fable; and therefore ter once Mr. Speaker) when I revolve with my selfe, these united. Examples and others, so lively expressing the neces sitie of a Naturalization to avoyd a relapsinto a separation, and doe here fee many arguments and scruples on the other side, it makes me thinke on the old Bishop, which upon a publick disputation of certaine Christian Divines, with some learned. men of the Heathen, did extreamly presse to bee heard, and they were loath to suffer him, because they knew hee was unlearned, though otherwise an holy and well-meaning man: But at last; with much adoe he got to be heard, and when he came to speake, in stead of using Argument, he did onely say over his Beliese, burdid it with such assurance and constancie, that it did strike the mindes of those that heard him, more than any argument had done; and so (Mr. Speaker) against all these witty and subrile Arguments, I say I doe believe, and I would bee forry to be found a Pr phet in it, that except we proceed with this Naturalization, though not perhaps in his Majesties time, who hath such interest in both nations, yet in the meane time of his Discendants, these Realmes will bee in continual danger, to divide and break agains, now if any man bee of that carelesse mind, Maneat nostros, ea cura nepotes.

Or of that hard mind to leave things to be tried

by the sharpest sword: Sure I am hee is not of St. Pauls opinion, who affirmeth, that who sever ufeth not fore-fight and provision for his family, is worse than an unbeleever, much more if wee shall not use fore-fight for these two Kingdomes, that comprehend in them so many families, but leave things open to the perill of future divisions: and thus have I expressed unto you what inconveniences of all others finke deepest with mee, as the most weightie: neither doth there want other inconveniences, (Mr. Speaker) the effects and influence whereof, I feare will not be adjourned to so long a day as this that I have spoken of: But I leave it to your considerations and wisedomes, to consider whether you doe not thinke, in case by the denyall of this Naturalization, any Pike, alienation, or unkindnesse, I doe not say should bee, but should bee thought to bee, or noyfed to bee betweene these two Nations, whether it will not quicken or excite all the envious and malicious humours, wherefoever (which are now covered) against us, either forrain Abinding ther Engines, and machinations, to the disturbance of this State. As for that other inconvenience, it is too binding, and too pressing to bee spoken of, and may doe better a great deale in your mindes than in my mouth, or the mouth of any man else, because as I have said, it doth presse our libertie too farre, and therefore (Mr. Speaker) I come to this third generall part of my division, concerning the benefit which we shall purchase by knitting this

knot surer and straiter betweene these two King-

domes.

inconvenience.

domes, by the communicating of Naturalization.

The benefits may appeare to be two, the one furctie, the other greatnesse: touching suretie, (Mr. Speaker) it was well said by Titus Quintus the Roman, touching the State of Peloponnesus, that the The benefit of Tortoise is safe within her shell, Testudo inter tegu- Suretie. men tutaest, but if there be any parts that lye open, they endanger all the rest: we know well, that although the State at this time be in a happy peace, yet for the time past, the more ancient enemie is the French, and the more late the Spaniard, and both these had as it were their severall posterne gates, whereby they might have approach and entrance to annoy us: France had Scotland, and Spain had Ireland; for these were but the two accesses which did comfort and encourage both these enemies to. affaile and trouble us: wee fee, that of scotland is cut off by the union of these two Kingdomes, if that it shall be made constant and permanent; that of Ireland is cut offlikewise by the convenient situation of the North of Scotland, towards the North of Ireland, where the fore was, which wee fee being fodenly closed by means of this salve, so that as now there are no parts of the State exposed to danger to be a temptation to the ambition of Forrainers, but their approches and avenues are taken away: for I doe little doubt, but these Forrainers, which had so little successe, when they had those advantages, will have much leffe comfort now that they bee taken from them; and so much for suretie.

For greatnesse, (Mr. Speaker) I think a man may The bespeake it soberly, and without braverie, that this nesse of Kingdome greatnes.

Kingdome of England, having Scotland united, Ireland reduced, the Sea Provinces of the Low Countries contracted, and shipping maintained, is one of the greatest Monarchies, in forces truely esteemed, that hath bin in the world; for certainly the King-.domes here on earth, have a resemblance with the Kingdome of Heaven, which our Saviour compareth not to any great Kirnell or Nut, but to a verie small graine, yet such an one as is apt to grow and spread, and such doe I take to bee the constitution of this Kingdome; if indeed our Countrie be referred to greatnesse and power, and not quenched too much with the consideration of utilitie and wealth, for (Mr. Speaker) was it not thinke you a true answer that Solon of Greece made to rich King Crasus of Lydia, when he shewed unto him a great equantitie of Gold, that he had gathered together in oftentation of his greatnesse and might; but Solon faid to him contrary to his expectation; why Sir, if another come that hath better Iron than you, hee will be Lord of all your Gold: neither is the authorite of Machiavel to be despised, who scorneth that Proverb of State, taken first from a Speech of Mucianus, that monies are the finewes of warres, and faith, there are no true smewes of warres, but the verie Armes of valiant men.

The beginning of Monarchies founded Nay more, (Mr. Speaker) who soever shall looke into the seminarie, and beginning of the Monarchy of the word, he shall find them sounded in poverty.

Persia a Countrie barren and poore in respect of

inpoverty Media whom they reduced.

Macedon, a Kingdom ignoble, and marcenarie, until Philip the son of Amintas. Rome Rome had poore and pastorall beginning.

The Turks a band of Sarmathian Scithes, that in The a vagabond manner made impression upon that part Turks, of Asia which is called Turcomania, out of which, after much varieties of fortune, sprung the Othoman,

family now the terrour of the World.

So we know the Gothes, Vandalls, Alans, Huns, Lombards, Normans, and the rest of the Northern people, in one age of the World, made their difcent and expedition upon the Roman Empire, and came not as rovers to carry away prey and be gone againe, but planted themselves in a number of rich and fruitfull Provinces, where not onely their generations, but their names remaine to this day, witnesse Lombardy, Catalonia, a name compounded of Goth and Alan, Andaluzia, a name corrupted from Vandalitia, Hungaria, Normandy, and others.

Nay the fortune of the Swiffes of late yeares, The Swiewhich are bred in a barren and mountainous Coun-zers. trey, is not to be forgotten, who first ruined the Duke of Burgundy, the same who had almost ruined the Kingdome of France, what time after the battell neere Granson, the rich Jewell of Burgundy, prized at many thousands, was sold for a few pence, by a common Swiffe, that knew no more what a jewell meant, then did E fops Cock; and againe, the same Nation in revenge of a scorne was the ruine of the French Kings affaires in Italy, Lewis the 12. for that King, when he was pressed somewhat rudely by an agent of the swiffers, toraise their pensions, brake into words of choler, what (faith he)

he) will these villaines of the mountaine put a raske up on me? which words lost him his Dutchy of Mil-

lain, and chased him out of Italy.

All which examples (Mr. Speaker) do well prove Solons opinion, of the authoritie and Majestie that Iron hath over Gold, and therefore if I shall speake unto you mine cwne heart, me thinks we should a little disdaine, that the Nation of Spain, which howfoever of late it hath begun to rule, yet of ancient time, served many ages, first under Carthage, then under Rome, after under Sarazens, Goths, and others, should of late yeares take unto them that? Spirit, as to dreame of a Monarchy in the West, according to that devise, vidi Solem Orientem in Occidente, onely because they have raised from some wilde, and unarmed people, Mines & store of gold; and on the other side, that this Island of Britaine, seated and named as it is, and that hath, I make no question, the best Iron in the World, that is, the best Souldiers of the world, shall thinke of nothing but accompisand audits, and meum & tuum; and I cannot tell what.

Mr. Speaker, I have (I take it) gone through the parts which I propounded to my selfe, wherein if any man shall think I have sung a placebo, for mine owne particular; I would have him know that I am not so unseene in the world, but that I discerne, it were much alke for my private fortune a tacebo, as to sing a placebo in this businesse: But I have spoken out of the sountaine of my heart, Credidi propter quod locutus sum: I believed, therefore I spake, so as my duty is performed: the judgment is yours, God direct it for the best.

A Speech used by Sir Francis Bacon Knight, in the Lower House of Parliament: by occasion of a motion concerning the union of Lawes.

ND it please you, (Mr. Speaker) were it now a time to wish, as it is to advise, no man should be more forward, or more earnest than my selfe in this wish, that his Majesties Subjects of England and Scotland were governed by one Law: And that for many reasons.

First, because it will be an infallible assurance, that there will never be any relapse in succeeding ages to

a separation.

Secondly, Dulcis tractus pari jugo. If the draught lye most upon us, and the yoak lie least on them, it is

not equall.

Thirdly, the qualities, and (as I may terme it) the elements of their Lawes, and ours are such as doe promise an excellent temperature in the compounded bodie: for if the prerogative here be too indefinite, it may bee the libertie there is too unbounded: if our Lawes and proceedings be too prolixe, and formall, it may bee theirs are too informall and summarie.

Fourthly, I doe discerne to my understanding, there will be no great difficultie in this worke: For their Lawes, by that I can learne, compared with

L 2

ours

ours are like their language, compared with ours; for as their language hath the same roots that ours hath, but hath a little more mixture of Latin and French: so their Lawes and Customes have the like grounds that ours have, with a little more mixture of the Civill Law, and French Customes.

Lastly, the meane to this work, seemeth to me no lesse excellent, than the worke it selfe: for it both Lawes shall be united, it is of necessity for preparation and inducement thereunto, that our own Laws be renewed and recompiled, then the which I think there cannot be a work that his Majestie can undertake in these his times of peace more politique, more honourable, nor more beneficiall to his Subjects for all ages,

Pace data in terris, animum ad civilia vertit

For this continuall heaping up of Lawes without digesting them, maketh but a chaos and confusion, and turneth the Lawes many times to become but snares for the people, as was well said, Pluet super eos laqueos; non sunt autem peiores laquei, quam laquei legum. And therefore this work I esteem to be indeed a work ( rightly to termeit ) Heroicall; and that which if I might live to see, I would not desire to live after. So that for this good wish of union of Lawes, I doe consent to the full: And I thinke you may perceive by that which I have faid, that I come not into the opinion of others, but that I was long agoe setled in it my selfe: Nevertheles as this is moved out of zeale, so I take it to bee moved out of time, as commonly all zealous motions are, while men are so fast carryed on to the end, as they give no

atten-

attention to the meane: for if it be time to talke of this now, it is either because the businesse now in hand cannot proceed without it, or because in time and order this matter should be precedent; or because we shall lose some advantage towards this effect, so much desired, if wee should goe on in the course we are about. But none of these three in my judgement are true, and therefore the motion (as I

faid) unseasonable.

For first, that there may not be a Naturalization without an union in Lawes, cannot bee maintained: Look into the example of the Church, and there you shall see the originall bonds to be one faith, one Baptisme, and not one policie, one custome. And so it is in the Civillestate: the maine bonds are, One 'allegeance, one birth-right, or naturality: And not one Law, or one administration of Law: And therefore one of the Fathers made an excellent observation-upon the two mysteries; the one that in the Gospell: The garment of Christis said to have bin without seam: The other that in the Psalme, the Garment of the Queene is said to have beene of divers colours, whereupon he draweth this conclusion: In veste varietas sit, scissuranon sit; Allowing divers formes of Ecclesiastical Lawes and usages, so as there bee no Schisme or separation. And so in this case (Mr. Speaker) wee are now in hand to make this Monarchie of one peece, and not of one colour. Looke againe into the example of forraine Countries, and take that next us of France, and there you shall find that they have this distribution, Pais du droit escrit, and pais du droit constumier. For Guscoine, Languedocke, Province, Dolphin, are Coun-

tries.

tries governed by the Letter, or Text of the Civil Law. But the Isle of France, Touraine, Berrie, Aniou, and the rest; and most of all Britanie and Normandy are governed by Customes, which amount to a municipal Law, and use the Civil Law, but onely for grounds, and to decide new and rare cases; and yet neverthelesse naturallization passet through all.

Secondly, that this union of Lawes should precede the Naturallization, or that it should goe on pari passu, hand in hand, I suppose likewise can hardly be maintained: But the contrarie, that Naturallizition ought to precede, and that not in the precedence of an instant; but in distance of time, of which my opinion, as I could yeeld many reasons; To because all this is but a digression, and therefore cught to be short: I will hold my selfe now onely to one, which is briefely and plainly this; that the union of Lawes will aske great time to bee perfected, both for the compiling, and for the pasfing; during all which time, if this marke of strangers should be denyed to be taken away, I feare it may induce such a habit of strangenes, as will rather be an impediment, than a preparation to further proceeding: for he was a wife man that hid, opportumi magnis conatibus transitus rerum, and in these cases, Non progredi, est regredi: And like as in a pair of Tables, you must put out the former writing before you can put innew; and againe, that which you write in, you write letter by letter: but that which you put out, you put out at once. So we have now to deale with the Tables of mens hearts, wherein it is in vaine to thinke you can enter the willing

willing acceptance of our Lawes and Customes, except you first put forthall noises either of hostilitie, or fortaine condition: and these are to bee put out simul & semel, at once without gradations; whereas the other poynts are to be imprinted and ingraven distinctly and by degrees.

Thirdly, whereas it is conceived by fome, that the communication of our benefits and priviledges, is a good hold, that we have over them, to draw them to submit themselves to our Lawes, it is an argument of some probability, but yet to be answered many wayes. For first, the intent is mistaken, which is not as I conceive it, to draw them wholly to a subjection to our Lawes, but to draw both nations to one uniformitie of Law. Again, to think that there in uld bee a kinde of articulare and indenred Contract, that they should receive our Lawes toobtain our privileges, is a matter in reason of Estate not to he expected, being that which scarcely a private man will a knowledge, if it come to that whereof Seneca speaketh: Beneficium accipere est libertatem vendere. No burcourses of Estate doe describe and delineate another way, which is towing them either by benefit, or by cultome: for we see in all Creatures, that men doe feed them first, and reclaime them after. And so in the first institution of Kingdomes, Kings did first win people by many benefits and protections, before they prestany yoaks And for custome, which the Poet calls, imponers morem; who doubts but that the feat of the Kingdome, and the example of the King resting here with us, that our Manners will quickly be there, to make

make all things ready for our Lawes. A the Naturalization which is now propounded to qualified with such restrictions as there will be enough kept back to be used at all times, for an Adamant of drawing them surther on, towards our desires. And therefore to conclude, I hold this motion of union of Lawes verie worthy; and arising from verie good minds, but yet not proper for this time.

and was result. The his or a

FINIS.

でまれるいまいまいまいまるであいまいまいまいまいまいまいまか

ASLANCOTOR, ALLE MI

